

Screening Study of Leaf Terpene Concentration of 75 Borneo Rainforest Plant Species: Relationships with Leaf Elemental Concentrations and Morphology

Jordi Sardans^{*1,2}, Joan Llusia^{1,2}, Susan M. Owen³, Ülo Niinemets⁴
and Josep Peñuelas^{1,2}

¹CSIC, Global Ecology Unit (CREAF-CSIC-UAB), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08913 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain

²CREAF, 08913 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain
CREAF, Facultat de Ciències, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08913, Bellaterra. Catalonia, Spain.

³Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Edinburgh, Penicuik, EH26 0QB, Scotland, Great Britain.

⁴Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Kreutzwaldi 1, Tartu 51014, Estonia.

(Received October 7, 2011; Revised October 15, 2011; Accepted April 30, 2014)

Abstract: Terpenes confer advantage in plant protection against abiotic stresses such as heat and drought and biotic stresses such as herbivore and pathogen attack. We conducted a screening of leaf mono- and sesquiterpene concentrations in 75 common woody plant species in the rainforest of Danum Valley (Borneo). Terpene compounds were found in 73 out of the 75 analysed species. Similar or lower proportions have been reported in other parts of the world. To our knowledge, this study reports for the first time the foliar concentration of mono- and/or sesquiterpene for 71 species and 39 genera not previously analyzed. Altogether 80 terpene compounds were determined across the species, and out of these only linalool oxide and (*E*)- γ -bisabolene had phylogenetic signal. A significant negative relationship between leaf monoterpene concentration and leaf length was observed, but leaf mono- and sesquiterpene concentration were not related to any other leaf morphological trait nor to leaf elemental composition. Functions such as temperature protection, radiation protection or signaling and communication could underlie the high frequency of terpene-containing species of this tropical ecosystem which has multiple and very diverse interactions among multiple species.

Keywords: Borneo; herbivory; leaf morphology; LMA; nutrients; rain forest; sesquiterpenes; monoterpenes.
© 2015 ACG Publications. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Protection, defence and infochemical function have been highlighted as possible role of terpenes [1-5]. Examples of these roles are photoprotection [6], thermotolerance) [7-11], protection against drought [12, 13] and non-specific antioxidative capacity, whereby terpenes protect photosynthetic membranes against peroxidation and reactive oxygen species such as singlet [9, 14-17]. Terpenes also have a role in plant defence, acting as deterrents, toxins or modifiers of insect development [18]. They are effective against non-adapted specialist herbivores [19], and generalist herbivores [20-22]. The dosage dependence for a successful deterrent function can change among terpene compounds [23, 24]. Moreover, terpenes have several other protective properties such as defence against fungi and

* Corresponding author: j.sardans@creaf.uab.cat; Tel: 34 93 5814673. Fax: 34 93 5814151

[25]. All these physiological and ecological functions are likely to play a fundamental role in the tropical ecosystems with multiple and diverse interactions among multiple species. Although there are recent efforts to characterize terpene content of the wide diversity of tropical plant species [26], their terpene content is mostly unknown.

Tropical ecosystems are characterized by frequent nutrient limitations and intense herbivore pressures [27-30]. Both stresses are known to strongly influence plant terpene concentration [1, 8, 21, 22, 31-34]. Some studies have already shown multiple terpene ecological functions in tropical ecosystems such as insect nest building [35], or insect attraction [36-38].

Considering the abiotic factors, low terpene production in plants with low nutrient concentration and photosynthetic rates can be expected from the “nutrient-driven synthesis” hypothesis that predicts a large enzyme (including terpene synthase) production with greater cellular N and P availability. Higher nutrient availability is usually expected to translate into higher carbon fixation and activity of the enzymes involved in isoprenoid production [39, 40]. In contrast, a greater production of terpenes as carbon based secondary compounds under lower nutrient availabilities can be expected from the “carbon excess” hypotheses [41-44]. These hypotheses assert that plants allocate carbon to secondary metabolism only after growth requirements are met and that growth is constrained more by nutrients than by photosynthesis. Thereafter, these secondary metabolites can exert defensive functions.

From a biotic perspective the role of terpenes has been related to plant defensive capacity. Although there are several theories to explain defensive success in plants in different environmental situations such as those theories based on an evolutionary basis [45]. This hypothesis proposes that plant species adapted to high resource environments will be selected for growth allocation rather than for defense while plant species adapted to low resource environments will be selected for increased defense allocation, because with herbivore attack it is much more difficult to replace tissue in low resource environments than in high resource environments. Thus, there is a quite broad consensus on that the resource allocation hypothesis is a general framework in which to study the trade offs between growth and defense as a function of resources availability [42, 44-48]. Both “carbon excess” and “resource allocation” hypotheses expect higher terpene concentrations related to low nutrient concentrations, whereas contrarily, “nutrient-driven” hypothesis predicts higher terpene concentrations related to high nutrient concentrations.

Several physical leaf properties have proved to be involved in defence mechanisms. Among them, LMA, plays a prominent role and has been proven to have a deterrent effect [49, 50]. On the other hand, costs for mechanical leaf support increase with increasing leaf size [51, 52], implying larger fractional investment in less-palatable veins [51]. Large diversity of leaf shapes and sizes are present in tropical forests, suggesting that trade-offs associated with leaf size-shape patterns can be important in modifying the linkages of leaf structure and chemistry to herbivory. At this regard higher C investment in leaf structures can suppose less allocation to terpenes production.

Few studies have investigated in a tropical rainforest the relationships between leaf terpene concentrations and other leaf traits related with defense and palatability such as leaf nutrient concentration, leaf phenolic concentration and leaf physical defenses, in a representative set of species in the field [53-55]. This type of study has been especially scarce comparing the relationships between leaf terpene concentrations and other leaf defensive traits. Moreover, there is a lack of terpene content screening studies in tropical forest.

Borneo is the third largest island of the world. It is located in South-eastern Asia and still has a great extent of tropical rain forest. Some studies have reported that nutrient availability is limiting for woody plant productivity in Borneo rainforest [56-60]. Previous studies have demonstrated that on average leaf nutrient concentrations of Borneo plants tend to be low [61] and some leaf nutrients concentrations, especially P, are in lower concentrations than in other tropical areas such as Hawaii [62]. In these nutrient limiting conditions, plant defence strategy against leaf herbivores might even be an evolutionary-acquired tool in order to avoid N and P losses such as predicted by “resource allocation” hypothesis [30, 46].

In this study, we conducted a screening of leaf terpene content in 75 common forest plant species of Borneo. Our aims were: (i) to characterize the mostly unknown terpene concentrations of these species and (ii) to study the relationships between the different terpene compounds and the concentrations of other carbon based defenses such as phenolics and with leaf morphological traits and

C, N, P and K leaf concentrations. While studying these relationships, we also aimed to test the “nutrient-driven”, “carbon excess” and “resource allocation” hypotheses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Field site and studied species

The study was conducted in Danum Valley Field Centre located on the east coast of the Malaysian state of Sabah, Borneo Island (48.75' E and 5° 01' N). (See supplementary material for details).

A total of 75 common species were sampled (Figure 1, supplementary material) and their basic ecological traits are shown in Table 1 (supplementary material).

2.2. Plant sampling

Plant sampling was conducted in medium to large gaps (10-100 m diameter). In all cases, leaves were sampled from at least three individual plants for each species. The plants were selected at random, with the condition that plants from a given species are at least 100 m apart. From each plant, even-aged mature non-senescent foliage, 6-12 months old was randomly sampled. (See supplementary material for plant sampling details).

2.3. Leaf structural traits

After sampling, the leaves were sealed in plastic bags with wet filter paper and immediately transported to the laboratory. In the laboratory, fresh and dry leaf mass, leaf area, leaf length, leaf mass area (LMA), compactness and leaf roundness of individual leaves were determined as described in supplementary information.

In the dataset, 6 species - *Caesalpinia major*, *Cassia alata*, *Clausena excavata*, *Fordia splendidissima*, *Reinwardtiidendron humile* and *Sindora irpicina* - are compound-leaved. In the case of compound-leaved species, leaflets were considered as functional analogues of simple leaves, and all structural and chemical traits refer to leaflets.

2.4. Leaf elemental and phenolic analyses

See supplementary information for detailed explanation of chemical analyses of leaf elemental and phenolic concentrations. Briefly, for C and N sample determination, 1-2 mg of pulverized dried sample were mixed with 2 mg of V₂O₅ as oxidant and analysed by combustion coupled to gas chromatography using a Thermo Electron Gas Chromatograph model NA 2100 (C.E. instruments-Thermo Electron, Milan, Italy). For analyses of other elements, dried and ground samples were digested with concentrated HNO₃ and H₂O₂ (30%, w/v). Thereafter, the concentrations of As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, Pb, V and Zn were determined using ICP-MS (Mass Spectrometry with Inductively Coupled Plasma) (Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Norwalk, USA) and Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na and P were determined using ICP-OES (Optic Emission Spectrometry with Inductively Coupled Plasma) (Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Norwalk, USA). For As analyses, we firstly generated arsenic hydrides and analyzed them with ICP-MS. Total phenolic concentration of leaves was determined by the improved Folin-Ciocalteu assay [63].

2.5. Leaf terpene extraction and analysis

We sampled three plants per species and 10-20 leaves in each plant. Samples were ground in liquid N₂ 1-12 hours after sampling. In each sampled plant we took three samples that were mixed and used in a unique extraction. The leaves were crushed in liquid nitrogen with a Teflon pestle in a Teflon tube until a homogeneous fine powder was obtained. After homogenization, 1 mL of pentane was

added before the pulp defrosted. The tubes were maintained at 25°C during 24 h, and after this period a sample of each extract was put into a 300 µL glass vial. The samples were extracted in the proportion 20 mg leaf powder: 1 mL of pentane. After extraction, samples were automatically injected into the GC-MS. The column was HP-5 crosslinked 5% PH Me Silicone (Supelco Inc.). The initial temperature of 40°C was immediately increased with a ramp of 30 °C min⁻¹ to 60°C. The second ramp was 10°C min⁻¹ to 150°C which was maintained for 3 min. The third ramp was 70°C min⁻¹ to 250°C which was maintained for 5 min. The carrier gas was helium at 0.7 mL min⁻¹. The mass detector was used with an electron impact of 70 eV. Identification of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes was conducted by GC-MS and comparison with standards from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), literature spectra, and NIST and Wiley libraries. Calibration with common terpenes α -pinene, δ -3-carene, β -pinene, β -myrcene, p-cymene, limonene, sabinene (monoterpenes) and α -humulene (sesquiterpenes) standards was carried out once every five analyses. The standards were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Gillingham, Dorset, UK) and analysed following the same process than for the sample extracts. Terpene calibration curves (each one with 4 different terpene concentrations; 0, 0.01, 0.1 and 0.83 mg mL⁻¹) were always highly significant ($r^2 > 0.99$ for the relationships between signal and terpene concentrations). The most abundant terpenes had similar sensitivity (differences were generally less than 5%). To link a peak with a determined compound using standard libraries (NIST and Wiley), we established the threshold value of a 95% or more of the percentage of security that the peak should correspond to a determined compound. All sampling and analytical procedures were applied in the same way for all species.

2.6. Phylogenetic and statistical analysis

The program Phylomatic [64] was used to build a phylogenetic tree of the species studied (Figure S1, supplementary information) as explained in Peñuelas *et al.* [62]. The statistical significance of the genetic differences between different species in explaining the variability of the studied variables was calculated employing Matlab 7.6.0 with the PHYSIG module developed by Blomberg *et al.* [65].

To analyze the relationships of foliage terpene concentrations with the other leaf studied characteristics (nutrient concentrations, leaf morphological traits and the level of herbivore attack), we conducted a general linear model (GLM) analysis both taking and not taking into account phylogenetic signal using Matlab 7.6.0 with REGRESSIONV2 module [66]. Thereafter, the model with a lower Akaike information criterion (AIC) was selected. When dealing with multiple correlations we used Bonferroni correction. (See supplementary material for detailed explanation of phylogenetic and statistical analyses).

3. Results and discussion

Foliar terpene presence was detected in 73 out of the 75 species analysed (Table 1). Table 1 and S1 (supplementary material) show the mono- and sesqui- that were clearly determined by GC-MS. Only *Popowia pisocarpa* and *Xanthophyllum affine* leaves did not present mono- and sesquiterpenes (Tables 1 and S1, supplementary material). Eighty different terpene compounds were detected in the leaves of the analyzed plants, 15 monoterpenes and 65 sesquiterpenes. All the monoterpenes and 62 out of the 65 sesquiterpenes (Table 1) could be determined. Thus, only 3 peaks that corresponded to a sesquiterpene structure could not be identified. Only one leaf monoterpene (Linalool oxide) and one sesquiterpene ((*E*)- δ -Bisabolone) had phylogenetic signal ($k = 0.524$ and $P = 0.020$, and $k = 0.482$ and $P = 0.022$, respectively). Total leaf monoterpene and sesquiterpene concentrations were positively correlated ($R = 0.31$ and $P < 0.01$) across species. The total number of monoterpene, sesquiterpene and total terpene chemical species per each plant species did not have phylogenetic signal ($k = 0.072$ and $P = 0.831$, $k = 0.094$ and $P = 0.649$, and $k = 0.079$ and $P = 0.832$, respectively). Total leaf terpene concentrations (mg g⁻¹) were not different among the species of different successional stages ($P = 0.84$) and ranged on average between 2-3 mg g⁻¹ in all categories of successional stages.

Leaf total monoterpenes were not correlated with the studied chemical and physical leaf traits (Table S2, supplementary material) and neither with the species succesional stage (data not shown).

This study provides novel information about terpene concentrations in Borneo plant species. Of the 75 species studied, 97% (73) contained terpenes in a detectable amount. This percentage was higher than previously observed in other parts of the world (apart from traditional phytotherapy studies which focus on plants with high secondary metabolite concentrations) such as Hawaii or the Mediterranean region but not compared to others such as the French Guiana [67]. For instance, Sardans et al. (2010) [26] found detectable concentration of foliar terpenes in 25 out of 73 species (34%) sampled in Hawaii, and Llusia & Peñuelas (1998, 2000) [13, 68] found detectable foliar terpene concentration in 4 out of 7 species studied (57%) in the North Western Mediterranean basin. Courtois et al. (2009) [67] found terpene in all 55 species studied in French Guiana.

As far as we know, within the 73 species that contained at least one mono- or sesquiterpene compound in detectable amounts, only 2 species (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum* and *Dipterocarpus gracilis*) had been previously reported as monoterpene and/or sesquiterpene-containing species [69, 70]. Therefore this study reports 71 species for the first time as mono- and/or sesquiterpene-containing species (Table 2, supplementary material). Furthermore, we have determined mono- and sesquiterpene compounds not previously reported in the two species previously reported as terpene-containing: the monoterpenes limonene, α -pinene and β -myrcene and the sesquiterpene γ -elemene in *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*, and the monoterpenes limonene and α -pinene and the sesquiterpenes α -cubebene, bicyclogermacrene, γ -cadinene, germacrene D and selina-3,7(11)-diene in *Dipterocarpus gracilis* (Table 2, supplementary material). The studied species represent 39 genera, from a total of 64 genera studied, with terpene concentration reported for the first time (*Agelaea*, *Ardisia*, *Artocarpus*, *Baccaurea*, *Barringtonia*, *Caesalpinia*, *Chaetocarpus*, *Cleistanthus*, *Clidemia*, *Combretum*, *Dimorphocalyx*, *Diospyros*, *Durio*, *Endospermum*, *Etinglera*, *Euphoria*=*Dimocarpus*, *Eusideroxylon*, *Fordia*, *Fagraea*, *Glochidion*, *Gluta*, *Hopea*, *Luvunga*, *Macaranga*, *Madhuca*, *Mallotus*, *Melastoma*, *Memecylon*, *Neonauclea*, *Palanquium*, *Parashorea*, *Payena*, *Pleurocarpidia*, *Poikilospermum*, *Pterospermum*, *Reinwarditioidendron*, *Swintonia*, *Symplocos*, *Uncaria*) (Table 2, supplementary material). In the case of *Ardisia elliptica*, plants of this species growing in Oahu (Hawaii) were analysed with the same protocol used in this study and no mono- and sesquiterpene compounds were detected [26]. On the other hand, 23 genera (*Callicarpa*, *Canarium*, *Cinnamomum*, *Chisocheton*, *Clausena*, *Dacryodes*, *Dipterocarpus*, *Dryobalanops*, *Ficus*, *Lansium*, *Myristica*, *Nauclea*, *Podocarpus*, *Polyalthia*, *Pouteria*, *Senna*, *Shorea*, *Sindorea*, *Syzygium*, *Tabernaemontana*, *Tarenna*, *Uvaria*, *Zingiber*) had been previously reported as mono- and sesquiterpene-containing genera, but

Table 1. Foliar concentrations of monoterpene and sesquiterpene compounds determined in the 75 Borneo rainforest species studied.

Species	This study		Previous reports in the literature Mono- and Sesquiterpenes reported in the same species (labeled with *) or in the other species of the same Genus
	Monoterpenes	Sesquiterpenes	
<i>Agelaea borneensis</i>	Limonene (56.0), α -Pinene (8.5), Total (64.5)	β -Caryophyllene (11.4), Germacrene D (2.5), Total (53.4)	
<i>Ardisia elliptica</i>	Limonene (4.4 ± 1.9), Total (4.4 ± 1.9)	α -Copaene (45.3 ± 32.0), β -Caryophyllene (485 ± 285), α -Cubebene (13.6 ± 11.1), α -Ylangene (0.58 ± 0.47), α -Farnesene (2.13 ± 1.74), α -Caryophyllene (99.0 ± 45.9), γ -Cadinene (11.4 ± 4.7), β -Selinene (11.5 ± 5.4), α -Selinene (693 ± 493), Total (1331 ± 872)	
<i>Arctocarpus odoratissimus</i>	Limonene (13.7), α -Pinene (60.4), Total (74.3)	α -Copaene (33.5), β -Caryophyllene (84.5), Aromadendrene (99.8), Bicyclogermacrene (15.4), α -Mururolene ($15.5 + 12.7$), Total (260)	
<i>Baccaurea macrocarpa</i>	Limonene (1.99 ± 1.15), α -Pinene (1.70 ± 0.98), Total (2.46 ± 1.01)	Bicyclogermacrene (0.64 ± 0.37), Bicycloelemene (14.3 ± 8.3), α -Caryophyllene (4.78 ± 2.76), α -Muurolene ($15.5 + 12.7$), Germacrene D (3.4 ± 2.8), Total (32.1 ± 26.2)	
<i>Barringtonia sarctostachys</i>	Limonene (3.1), α -Terpinene (15.4), Total (18.5)	α -Copaene (13.3), α -Amorphene (5.55), Calarene (7.3), E-Caryophyllene (578), Bicyclogermacrene (11.2), α -Caryophyllene (223), Germacrene D (181), Total (1020)	
<i>Caesalpinia mezzoneuron</i>	Limonene (7.3 ± 2.0), β -Ocimene ($56.3 + 48.7$), γ -Terpinene (9.6 ± 8.3), E-Sabinene (2.66 ± 2.31), Linalool (9.1 ± 7.9), α -Pinene (3.8 ± 2.5), Sabinene (16.0 ± 13.9), Total (108 ± 50.6)	Bicycloelemene ($10.0 + 8.6$), α -Copaene ($33.3 + 26.5$), β -Caryophyllene (97.7 ± 50.9), γ -Elemene (564 ± 488), α -Cubebene (10.4 ± 9.0), (-)- β -Elemene (547 ± 474), β -Cubebene (452 ± 391), β -Gurjunene (5.1 ± 4.4), α -Amorphene (0.96 ± 0.83), Δ -Elemene (180 ± 156), E-Caryophyllene (61.5 ± 53.3), Bicyclogermacrene (145 ± 126), α -Caryophyllene ($375 + 175$) γ -Cadinene (3.7 ± 3.2), β -Selinene (129 ± 111), Germacrene D (4.4 ± 2.2), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (2.1 ± 1.8), Total (2619 ± 1404)	
<i>Callicarpa longifolia</i>	β -Pinene (332 ± 271),	α -Copaene (21.7 ± 11.5), α -Santalene (18.6 ± 10.8), β -Caryophyllene ($166 \pm$	<i>C. americana</i> and <i>C.</i>

	Limonene (302 ± 172), γ-Terpinene (54.9 ± 44.8), Linalool oxide (2.94 ± 1.70), α-Terpinene (34.6 ± 20.0), α-Pinene (846 ± 488), Sabinene (147 ± 85), Total (1275 ± 1040)	96), E-α-Bisabolene (3.2 ± 1.9), E-γ-Bisabolene (2.2 ± 1.3), γ-Elemene (2.6 ± 2.2), β-Gurjunene (11.7 ± 6.8), α-Amorphene (2.75 ± 1.6), Calerene (3.1 ± 2.5), 1,5,5-trimethyl cyclohexane (146 ± 64), α-Caryophyllene (141 ± 69), Germacrene D (47.7 ± 38.9), Total (410 ± 287)	<i>japonica</i> (Cantrell <i>et al.</i> 2005) [86], <i>C. microphylla</i> (Chung <i>et al.</i> 2005) [87]
<i>Canarium decumanum</i>	Limonene (3.4)	β-Caryophyllene (1454), α-Caryophyllene (429), Total (1883)	<i>C. album</i> (Giang <i>et al.</i> 2006) [88], <i>C. boivbinii</i> (Billet <i>et al.</i> 1971) [89], <i>C. Zeylanicum</i> (Bandaranayake 1980) [90]
<i>Canarium denticulatum</i>	Limonene (37.0 ± 13.4), β-Ocimene (14.0 ± 11.4), α-Pinene (39.1 ± 23.1), Total (90.0 ± 46.3)	α-Copaene (153 ± 101), β-Caryophyllene (329 ± 155), γ-Elemene (32.3 ± 19.1), α-Cubebene (38.1 ± 31.1), (-)-β-Elemene (107 ± 37), Δ-Elemene (94.3 ± 39.5), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (319 + 90), α-Guaiene (9.9 ± 6.8), Allomadendrene (4.2 ± 3.4), α-Caryophyllene (22.0 ± 12.6), γ-Cadinene (14.4 + 0.8), Germacrene D (255 ± 154), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (14.4 ± 4.1), β-Bisabolene (81.8 ± 28.6), Total (1474 ± 380)	
<i>Cassia (Senna) alata</i>	Limonene (141), α-Pinene (9.3), Total (150)	α-Copaene (64), (-)-β-Elemene (146), Δ-Elemene (651), E-Caryophyllene (1139), β-Selinene (4233), Germacrene D (750), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (646), Total (6683)	<i>C. fistula</i> (Tzakou <i>et al.</i> 2007) [91], <i>C. javanica</i> (Chaudhuri & Chawla 1987) [92]
<i>Chaetocarpus castanocarpus</i>	Limonene (3.9), α-Pinene (1.31), Total (5.3)	α-Caryophyllene (84.1), Total (84.1)	
<i>Chisocheton sarawakensis</i>	Camphene (33.2), β-Pinene (25.5), Limonene (230), α-Pinene (1592), Sabinene (193), Total (2073)	β-Caryophyllene (6.2), α-Ionone (5.6), α-Caryophyllene (12.0), β-Selinene (4.4), Germacrene D (11.7), (+)-Spathulenol (9.7), Total (49.5)	<i>C. penduliflorus</i> (Phongmaykyn <i>et al.</i> 2008) [93]
<i>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</i>	Limonene (48.8 ± 26.0), α-Pinene (170 ± 96), β-Myrcene (15.9 ± 9.2), Total (156 ± 125)	β-Caryophyllene (83.0 ± 38.4), γ-Elemene (6.9 ± 5.6), α-Caryophyllene (40.4 ± 12.9), Germacrene D (10.2 ± 8.3), Total (99.4 ± 55.5)	<i>C. burmanii</i> (Sardans <i>et al.</i> 2010) [26], <i>C. malabratrum</i> (Leela <i>et al.</i> 2009) [94], (Jayaprakasha <i>et al.</i> 2003; Yang <i>et al.</i> 2005; Tira-Picos <i>et al.</i> 2009; Wang <i>et al.</i> 2009) [95-98], <i>C. zeylanicum</i> (Chen <i>et al.</i> 2010)[70]*

<i>Clausena excavata</i>	Limonene (56.9 ± 46.5), α-Pinene (30.2 ± 24.7), β-Myrcene (49.4 ± 33.5), Total (134 ± 104)	α-Copaene (22.8 ± 11.8), β-Copaene (3.6 ± 3.0), β-Caryophyllene (2.92 ± 2.40), γ-Elemene (8.5 ± 7.0), (-)-β-Elemene (24.5 ± 20.0), α-Amorphene (634 ± 511), Δ-Elemene (115 ± 94), E-Caryophyllene (358 ± 289), Bicyclo[3,1,1]hept-2-ene-2,6-dimethyl-3-pentyl (16.7 ± 13.6), β-Selinene (1005 ± 414), Δ-Cadinene (3.6 ± 2.9), β-Panasinsene (9.2 ± 7.5), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (7206 ± 160), Nerolidol (65.3 ± 53.3), Caryophyllene oxide (34.4 ± 8.8), Germacrene D (219 ± 154), Total (2537 ± 1284)	<i>C. harmandiana</i> (Thongthoom <i>et al.</i> 2010) [99], <i>C. heptaphylla</i> (Sohrab <i>et al.</i> 1999) [100], <i>C. lansium</i> (Chokeprasert <i>et al.</i> 2007) [101]
<i>Cleistanthus bridelifolius</i>	Limonene (81), α-Pinene (21.1), Total (27.2)	α-Copaene (6.4), β-Copaene (4.7), Aromadendrene (2.61), α-Pharnesene (54.1), Total (67.8)	
<i>Clidemia hirta</i>	Limonene (18.2), Total (18.2)	β-Cubebene (5.7), Total (5.7)	
<i>Combretum nigrescens</i>	Limonene (3.64), α-Pinene (1.87), Total (5.5)	α-Copaene (5.2), E-α-Bergamolene (3.6), Germacrene D (7.4), Total (16.1)	
<i>Dacryodes rugosa</i>	Limonene (495), α-Pinene (2402), Total (2897)	α-Copaene (14.3), β-Caryophyllene (10.8), γ-Elemene (10.5), (-)-β-Elemene (13.9), α-Amorphene (19.0), Allomadendrene (58.5), α-Caryophyllene (13.3), Germacrene D (4.5), Total (133)	<i>D. edulis</i> (Ekong & Okogun 1969) [102]
<i>Dimocarpus longan</i> subsp. <i>malesianus</i> = <i>Euphoria malaiensis</i>	Limonene (15.3), α-Pinene (1.44), Total (16.7)	α-Copaene (397 ± 62), β-Caryophyllene (1392 ± 25), γ-Elemene (270 ± 143), (+)-Aromadendrene (1.72), α-Cubebene (2.7), Calerene (103 + 44), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (32.6 ± 9.2), Bicyclo[3,1,1]hept-2-ene-2,6-dimethyl-3-pentyl (76.9 ± 35.5), β-Sesquiphentrene (14.0 ± 8.1), Allomadendrene (147 + 40), α-Caryophyllene (1352 ± 59), Germacrene D (415 + 278), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (62.6 + 9.8), Total (3104 ± 1270)	
<i>Dimorphocalyx murinus</i>	Limonene (5.3), α-Pinene (4.8), Total (10.1)	γ-Elemene (28.6), α-Cubebene (9.5), α-Ylangene (8.8), (-)-β-Elemene (68.5), α-Cadinol (32.9), α-Selinene (143), α-Amorphene (19.0), Bicycloelemene (6.5), α-Gurjunene (6.9), E-Caryophyllene (68.3), Δ-Cadinene (50.9), E-α-Bergamotene (51.8), α-Caryophyllene (68.3), β-Selinene (112), β-Panasinsene (5.2), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (113), Germacrene D (443), Δ-Cadinene (50.9), Total (1316)	
<i>Diospyros durinoides</i>	Limonene (6.3 ± 0.3), α-Pinene (33.6 ± 14.6), Total (26.6 ± 15.9)	β-Caryophyllene (1.7 ± 1.0), γ-Elemene (0.43 ± 0.35), α-Caryophyllene (3.0 ± 0.9), Globulol (21.1 ± 6.2), Germacrene D (1.5 ± 0.9), Total (19.1 ± 10.4)	
<i>Dipterocarpus sarawakensis</i>	Limonene (5.9), Total (5.9)	β-Caryophyllene (31.8), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (43.5), Bicyclo[4,4,0]dec-1-ene-2-isopropyl-5-methyl-9-methylene (178), Germacrene B (6.6), α-Guaiene (6.2), α-Caryophyllene (11.), Germacrene D (6.8), Total (284)	<i>D. species</i> (Messer <i>et al.</i> 1990) [69]*, <i>D. kerrii</i> (Richardson <i>et al.</i> 1989 and

<i>Dipterocarpus applanatus</i>	Limonene (29.6 ± 81.2), α-Pinene (27.9 ± 16.1), Total (38.3 ± 21.1)	α-Copaene (653 ± 377), β-Caryophyllene (1097 ± 633), β-Cubebene (416 ± 240), Bicyclogermacrene (3543 ± 1934), Bicyclo[4,4,0]dec-1-ene-2-isopropyl-5-methyl-9-methylene (158 + 92), α-Caryophyllene (1217 ± 635), Germacrene D (52.9 + 43.2), γ-Cadinene (1425 ± 823), Total (5818 ± 560)	1991) [103, 104]
<i>Dipterocarpus gracilis</i>	Limonene (6.3 ± 3.6), α-Terpinene (14.8 ± 8.5), α-Pinene (0.26 ± 0.15), Total (14.2 ± 11.6)	β-Caryophyllene (698 ± 401), α-Cubebene (55.7 ± 32.2), β-Cubebene (14.8 ± 8.5), E-Caryophyllene (283 ± 164), Aromadendrene (139 ± 80), Bicyclogermacrene (155 + 90), Germacrene D (78.2 ± 63.8), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (16.9 ± 9.8), α-Caryophyllene (674 ± 153), β-Selinene (56.6 ± 46.2), Total (1400 ± 633)	
<i>Dryobalanops lanceolata</i>	Camphene (286 ± 165), Limonene (11.4 ± 5.1), α-Pinene (0.40 ± 0.23), Total (199 ± 161)	α-Copaene (122 ± 71), β-Caryophyllene (5.9 ± 3.4), α-Amorphene (25.2 ± 14.5), Aromadendrene (5.8 ± 3.3), α-Caryophyllene (2.9 ± 1.7), γ-Cadinene (21.1 ± 12.2), β-Selinene (1.2 ± 1.0), Germacrene D (8.8 ± 7.2), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (13.2 ± 7.6), Total (142 ± 91.2)	<i>D. aromatica</i> (Park <i>et al.</i> 2003) [105]
<i>Durio kutejensis</i>	Limonene (14.3), α-Pinene (265), Total (280)		
<i>Endospermum diadenum</i>	Limonene (19.5), β-Ocimene (124), γ-Terpinene (81.0), E-Sabinene (44.9), Z-Sabinene hydrate (54.4), Linalool (183), γ-Terpinene (5.2), α-Pinene (20.7), Sabinene (442), Myrcene (19.5), Total (994)	Bicycloelemene (29.1), α-Copaene (375), α-Cubebene (45.9), E-Caryophyllene (109), Bicyclogemacrene (496), α-Caryophyllene (293), Total (1484)	
<i>Etilingera brevilabrum</i>	Limonene (24.1), α-Pinene (656), Total (680)	α-Copaene, (176) (-)-β-Elemene (15.6), β-Cubebene (921), 1,4,7-Cycloundecatriene-1,5,9,9-tetramethyl-Z,Z,Z- (14.0), Total (1126)	
<i>Eusideroxylon zwageri</i>	β-Pinene (63.4 ± 27.3), Limonene (16.5 ± 2.3), α-Pinene (362 ± 11), Total (316 ± 30)	α-Copaene (1049 ± 108), β-Caryophyllene (2972 ± 460), γ-Elemene (448 ± 184), α-Cubebene (533 ± 57), α-Ylangene (103 ± 28), β-Cubebene (1046 ± 47), Calarene (138 ± 72), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (12.5 ± 7.5), E-Caryophyllene (1777 ± 377), Bicyclogermacrene (2290 ± 614), α-Guaiene (681 ± 556), E-β-Phanesene (48.1 ± 14.6), α-Caryophyllene (31.4 ± 1.3), γ-Cadinene (163 ± 40), α-Muurolene (28.7 ± 12.5), α-Cadinol (119 ± 48), Germacrene D	

		(611 ± 499), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (61.8 ± 2.5), Total (8886 ± 3628)	
<i>Ficus aurata</i>	Limonene (7.7), α -Pinene (8.5), Total (16.2)		<i>F. carica</i> (Gibernau <i>et al.</i> 1997) [106], <i>F. exasperate</i> (Sonibare <i>et al.</i> 2006) [107]
<i>Fordia splendidissima</i>	Limonene (20.3), Total (20.3)	β -Caryophyllene (6.6), α -Caryophyllene (21.1), Total (27.7)	
<i>Fagraea cuspidata</i>	Limonene (5.8), α -Pinene (0.66), Total (6.4)		
<i>Glochidion rubrum</i>	Limonene (168), γ -Terpinene (106), α -Pinene (1402), Phellandrene (453), Total (2128)	α -Caryophyllene (15.6), Total (15.6)	
<i>Gluta macrocarpa</i>	Limonene (32.1), β -Ocimene (3229), α -Pinene (33.7), B-Myrcene (94.0), Total (3389)	β -Caryophyllene (914), (+)-Aromadendrene (36.1), 8-Isopropanyl-1,5-dimethyl-cyclodeca 1,5-diene (86.4), Δ -Elemene (725), Aromadendrene (67.3), E- α -Bergamotene (48.7), α -Caryophyllene (378), γ -Cadinene (82.7), β -Selinene (1383), Germacrene D (1711), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (62.7), Total (5515)	
<i>Zingiber odoriferum</i>	Limonene (35.0), α -Pinene (492), Total (527)	α -Copaene (260), β -Copaene (14.4), Bicyclogermacrene (715), Germacrene D (23.6) Aromadendrene (9.0), Total (1022)	<i>Z. aromaticum</i> (Kirara <i>et al.</i> 2003) [108], <i>Z. nimmonii</i> (Baby <i>et al.</i> 2006) [109], <i>Z. ottensii</i> (Akiyama <i>et al.</i> 2006) [110], <i>Z. officinalis</i> (Rani 1999; Bartley & Jacobs 2000; Ma <i>et al.</i> 2004; Picaud <i>et al.</i> 2006; Ma & Gang 2006; Menon <i>et al.</i> 2007) [111-116], <i>Z. zerumbet</i> (Damodaran & Dev 1967; Jang & Seo 2005; Sadhu <i>et al.</i> 2007; Yu <i>et al.</i> 2008a and 2008b) [117-121]
<i>Hopea griffithii</i>	β -Pinene (331), Limonene (40.9), α -Pinene (2812),	α -Copaene (62.5), Calarene (50.5), E-Caryophyllene (38.9), β -Cubebene (2), α -Caryophyllene (30.7), Germacrene D (19.1), Total (219)	

	Sabinene (52.2), β -Myrcene (93.0), Total (3329)			
<i>Hopea nervosa</i>	Camphene (0.64 \pm 0.58), β -Pinene (0.6662 \pm 0.57), Limonene (80.9 \pm 69.2), β -Ocimene (21.6 \pm 19.7), Linalool (14.8 \pm 13.5), α -Terpinene (9.5 \pm 8.7), α -Pinene (387 \pm 352), D-Calereene (0.69 \pm 0.63), Total (1134 \pm 1027)	Bicycloelemene (5.8 \pm 5.3), α -Copaene (22.9 \pm 14.3), α -Satalene (9.4 \pm 6.6), β -Caryophyllene (117 \pm 75), γ -Elemene (14.2 \pm 12.9), α -Cubebene (2.14 \pm 1.62), β -Elemene (0.87 \pm 0.79), (-)- β -Elemene (4.9 \pm 4.4), \square β -Cubebene (3.0 \pm 2.7), Bicyclogermecrene (55.2 \pm 50.1), Aromadendrene (1.2 \pm 1.1), α -Farnesene (1.3 \pm 1.2), α -Caryophyllene (39.2 \pm 17.2), γ -Cadinene (5.7 \pm 3.6), β -Selinene (10.8 \pm 9.4), Δ -Elemene (7.0 \pm 6.4), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (0.44 \pm 0.41), E-Caryophyllene (7.8 \pm 6.9), Bicyclo[3,1,1]hept-2-ene-2,6-dimethyl-3-pentyl (4.8 \pm 4.4), E- α -Bergamolene (33.1 \pm 20.7), β -Bisabolene (57.2 \pm 52.3), Germacrene D (140 \pm 65), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (6.1 \pm 5.4), (+)- β -Gurjunene (0.41 \pm 0.38), Δ -Cadinene (8.2 \pm 7.5), Total (557 \pm 225)		
<i>Hopea nutans</i>	Limonene (5.0 \pm 2.9), β -Ocimene (34.6 \pm 20.0), Total (26.4 \pm 1.6)	α -Copaene (34.2 \pm 19.7), β -Caryophyllene (97.5 \pm 24.8), γ -Elemene (331 \pm 270), (-)- β -Elemene (254 \pm 208), β -Cubebene (259 \pm 150), Δ -Elemene (91.7 \pm 74.9), α -Caryophyllene (30.0 \pm 7.4), γ -Cadinene (7.8 \pm 4.5), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (3.8 \pm 2.2), Total (995 \pm 714)		
<i>Hopea sangal</i>		(-)- β -Elemene (372), α -Lonone (1439), Bicyclogermacrene (21.0), γ -Cadinene (56.0), Aromadendrene (8.1), Total (1893)		
<i>Lansium domesticum</i>	Limonene (6.31), α -Pinene (32.9 + 23.7), Total (39.3 \pm 24.8)	α -Copaene (1.3 + 1.0), β -Caryophyllene (30.6 + 20.2), γ -Elemene (105 + 84), α -Cubebene (48.5 \pm 34.7), β -Cubebene (188 \pm 63), Calarene (5.5 \pm 4.5), 1,4,7-Cycloundecatriene-1,5,9,9-tetramethyl-Z,Z,Z- (4.0 \pm 3.3), α -Caryophyllene (24.0 \pm 16.2), γ -Cadinene (24.5 + 11.2), Total (432 \pm 142)	<i>L. anamalayanum</i> (Krishnappa & Dev 1973) [122]	
<i>Luvunga heterophylla</i>	Limonene (10.7), α -Pinene (5.8), Total (16.5)	α -Copaene (1384), β -Caryophyllene (15.9), α -Cubebene (74.2), α -Ylangene (116), (-)- β -Elemene (800), α -Amorphene (168), Δ -Elemene (1448), α -Gurjunene (59.8), E-Caryophyllene (254), Bicyclogermecrene (2201), Germacrene B (397), α -Guaiene (349), α -Caryophyllene (1790), β -Selinene (2079), γ -Selinene (1379), 5-Azulenemethanol (193), Germacrene D (70.9), Total (12778)		
<i>Macaranga conifera</i>	β -Pinene (241), γ -Terpinene (80.3), α -Pinene (273), Phellandrene (132), Total (706)	α -Caryophyllene (11.0), Total (11.0)		
<i>Macaranga gigantea</i>	Limonene (8.2 \pm 6.7), α -Pinene (4.2 \pm 3.4),	α -Copaene (35.2 \pm 28.7), β -Caryophyllene (564 \pm 240), (-)- β -Elemene (26.0 \pm 21.2), 8-Isopropanyl-1,5-dimethyl-cyclodeca 1,5-diene (13.8 \pm 11.2), β -		

	Total (12.3 ± 5.8)	Cubebene (9.5 ± 7.8), Δ-Elemene (27.5 ± 16.2), Bicyclo[3,1,1]hept-2-ene-2,6-dimethyl-6-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)- (56.9 ± 39.0), E-α-Bergamolene (9.8 ± 8.0), β-Bisabolene (84.2 ± 68.7), E-β-Pharnesene (5.2 ± 4.3), α-Caryophyllene (913 ± 570), γ-Cadinene (18.9 ± 15.4), β-Selinene (16.7 ± 13.6), Veridiflorol (32.8 ± 28.8), Total (1780 ± 810)	
<i>Madhuca korthalsii</i>	Limonene (6.3), α-Pinene (33.6), Total (40.0)	Bicycloelemene (12.4), α-Copaene (14.6), (-)-β-Elemene (13.3), α-Amorphene (4.3), Calarene (3.0), E-Caryophyllene (34.5), Aromadendrene (2.6), Bicyclogermacrene (181), Germacrene D (288), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (3.5), α-Caryophyllene (19.1), Total (580)	
<i>Mallotus mollissimus</i>	β-Pinene (823 ± 373), Limonene (49.5 ± 19.5), γ-Terpinene (12.6 ± 5.2), α-Pinene (2197 ± 900), Total (3082 ± 1254)	α-Copaene (83.5 ± 35.8), Caryophyllene (252 ± 179), (-)-α-Selinene (341 ± 160), α-Cubebene (4.7 ± 3.8), β-Elemene (4.5 ± 3.7), Aromadendrene (31.2 ± 19.8), Bicyclogermacrene (330 ± 156), Germacrene B (109 ± 59), α-Caryophyllene (265 ± 132), β-Panasinsene (77.2 ± 63.1), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (60.7 ± 49.6), Germacrene D (62.5 ± 41.8), Total (1622 ± 763)	
<i>Mallotus wrayi</i>	Limonene (4.6 ± 2.2), α-Pinene (3.69 ± 0.41), Total (8.3 ± 2.5)	α-Copaene (28.4 ± 14.5), α-Cubebene (71.1 ± 58.0), β-Elemene (3.8 ± 3.1), α-Amorphene (32.5 ± 26.5), E-Caryophyllene (153 ± 113), Bicyclogermacrene (8.1 ± 5.2), Germacrene B (1.9 ± 1.6), α-Farnesene (47.1 ± 38.4), α-Caryophyllene (120 ± 79), α-Muurolene (8.3 ± 6.6), β-Bisabolene (12.1 ± 9.9), Δ-Cadinene (44.3 ± 33.8), Globulol (11.1 ± 9.0), Viridiflorol (32.8 ± 26.8), Germacrene D (155 ± 65), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (87.1 ± 71.1), (+)-β-Gurjunene (5.4 ± 4.4), Total (801 ± 321)	
<i>Melastoma malabathricum</i>	Limonene (11.9 ± 1.0), γ-Terpinene (12.5 ± 10.2), α-Pinene (41.1 ± 3.1), Total (75.7 ± 23.0)	α-Caryophyllene (43.0 ± 24.8), Total (43.0 ± 24.8)	
<i>Memecylon laevigatum</i>	Limonene (3.3), α-Pinene (19.5), Total (22.8)	α-Copaene (5.5), E-α-Bergamolene (4.7), α-Caryophyllene (567), γ-Cadinene (11.0), β-Selinene (59.3), (+)-Spathulenol (16.0), Aromadendrene (70.0), Total (736)	
<i>Myristica maxima</i>		α-Copaene (22.1), Calarene (30.4), Aromadendrene (478), Bicyclogermacrene (105), α-Caryophyllene (392), Δ-Cadinene (7.4), Germacrene D (750), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (750), Total (1784)	<i>M. malabarica</i> (Baby <i>et al.</i> 2007) [123]
<i>Nauclea subdita</i>	Limonene (3.8), α-Pinene (1.90), Total (5.7)	α-Copaene (8.0), β-Caryophyllene (115), γ-Elemene (776), (-)-β-Elemene (7.9), E-Caryophyllene (33.1), Bicyclogermacrene (36.0), α-Caryophyllene (75.2), Germacrene D (129), Total (404)	<i>N. latifolia</i> (Maikal & Kobo 2008; Okwu & Uchenna 2009) [124, 125]

<i>Neonauclea artocarpoides</i>	Limonene (5.0), α -Pinene (47.7), Total (52.7)	α -Copaene (427), γ -Elemene (776), α -Cubebene (155), β -Elemene (457), (-)- β -Elemene (23.5), E-Caryophyllene (200), Aromadendrene (118), Bicyclogermacrene (751), Germacrene B (1332), α -Guaiene (918), α -Caryophyllene (459), β -Selinene (228), Azulene (2321), Δ -Cadinene (215), Viridiflorol (85.3), Total (8455)	
<i>Palaquium microphyllum</i>	Limonene (11.1), α -Pinene (25.3), Total (36.3)	Bicycloelemene (35.1), α -Cubebene (52.0), β -Elemene (57.7 + 49.9), (-)- β -Elemene (24.7), Bicyclogermacrene (449), α -Caryophyllene (224), Total (784)	
<i>Parashorea malaanonan</i>	β -Pinene (28.2 \pm 24.4), Limonene (26.8 \pm 12.9), γ -Terpinene (19.9 \pm 10.9), Linalool (778 \pm 674), α -Pinene (185 \pm 141), Sabinene (14.3 \pm 12.4), Phellandrene (61.8 \pm 53.6), β -Myrcene (17.0 \pm 14.8), Total (1130 \pm 934)	α -Copaene (25.3 \pm 20.1), β -Caryophyllene (63.0 \pm 45.5), γ -Elemene (6.8 \pm 5.9), (-)- β -Elemene (232 \pm 201), Δ -Elemene (11.4 \pm 9.9), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (23.0 \pm 19.9), Bicyclo[3,1,1]hept-2-ene-2,6-dimethyl-3-pentyl) (47.5 \pm 41.2), β -Cubebene (6.0 \pm 5.2), Bicyclogermacrene (61.3 \pm 49.7), Bicyclo[4,4,0]dec-1-ene-2-isopropyl-5-methyl-9-methylene (30.7 \pm 26.6), α -Loone (6.9 \pm 6.0), α -Guaiene (5.2 \pm 4.8), E- β -Farnesene (3.3 \pm 2.9), α -Caryophyllene (113 + 90), γ -Cadinene (46.7 \pm 40.4), E- α -Bergamotene (111 \pm 10), β -Bisabolene (17.8 \pm 15.4), (+)-Spathulenol (4.4 \pm 3.8), Germacrene D (20.3 \pm 13.6), β -Selinene (96.2 \pm 67.4), Caryophyllene oxide (33.3 \pm 28.8), Total (941 \pm 100)	
<i>Parashorea tomentella</i>	Camphene (3.9 + 3.4), β -Pinene (10.5 + 9.4), Limonene (17.7 + 9.1), α -Pinene (203 + 167), Total (235 \pm 179)	Bicycloelemene (18.2 \pm 16.3), α -Copaene (95.6 \pm 69.3), β -Copaene (7.0 \pm 4.4), β -Bourbonene (15.6 \pm 14.1), γ -Elemene (75.2 \pm 67.3), Isospathulenol (4.5 \pm 3.0), α -Ylangene (59.7 \pm 53.4), α -Cubebene (64.6 \pm 34.3), (-)- β -Elemene (9.0 \pm 5.9), Δ -Elemene (40.5 \pm 31.9), E-Caryophyllene (546 \pm 488), Bicyclogermacrene (362 \pm 147), α -Guaiene (3.8 \pm 3.2), α -Farnesene (2.6 \pm 2.3), α -Caryophyllene (474 \pm 298), Δ -Cadinene (9.6 \pm 4.2), (+) Spathulenol (40.8 \pm 36.1), Germacrene D (384 \pm 155), Total (2213 \pm 990)	
<i>Payena acuminata</i>	Limonene (11.1), α -Pinene (107), Total (118)	β -Caryophyllene (94.3), α -Caryophyllene (36.7), Total (131)	
<i>Pleiocarpidia sandahanica</i>	Limonene (5.1 \pm 0.5), α -Pinene (5.1 \pm 1.8), Total (6.8 \pm 3.4)	Bicycloelemene (15.0 \pm 8.7), α -Copaene (2.78 \pm 1.60), (+)-Aromadendrene (10.4 \pm 6.0), (-)- β -Elemene (3.4 \pm 2.8), α -Amorphene (131 \pm 76), E-Caryophyllene (9.0 \pm 5.2), Bicyclogermacrene (61.4 \pm 35.5), Viridiflorol (5.4 \pm 3.1), Germacrene D (3.3 \pm 2.7), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (4.9 \pm 2.8), Total (163 \pm 133)	
<i>Podocarpus neriifolius</i>	Limonene (1.6 \pm 0.9), α -Pinene (1.45 \pm 0.84),	Bicycloelemene (4.0 \pm 2.3), α -Copaene (2.4 \pm 1.4), α -Cubebene (11.4 \pm 6.6), α -Amorphene (3.0 \pm 1.8), Calerene (1.8 \pm 1.5), Bicycloelemene (3.56 \pm 2.05), E-	<i>P. andina</i> (Kubo <i>et al.</i> 1992) [126], <i>P. halli</i> (Perry

	Total (2.04 ± 1.67)	Caryophyllene (93.6 ± 2.4), Bicyclogermacrene (88.5 ± 7.4), α-Caryophyllene (53.4 ± 1.9), Germacrene D (36.2 ± 28.7), (+)-β-Gurjunene (3.0 ± 1.8), Total (212 ± 9.7)	<i>et al.</i> 2007) [127], <i>P. sensu latissimo</i> (Abdillahi <i>et al.</i> 2010) [128], <i>P. spicatus</i> (Lorimer & Weavers 1987) [129]
<i>Poikilospermum cordifolium</i>	Limonene (8.8 ± 1.3), α-Pinene (1.05 ± 0.61), Total (6.5 ± 3.1)	α-Copaene (544 ± 314), α-Cubebene (28.8 ± 16.6), γ-Elemene (79.3 ± 64.7), □ α-Ylangene (45.2 + 26.1), β-Elemene (191 + 110), □ Δ-Elemene (423 + 345), E-Caryophyllene (98.0 ± 48.0), Aromadendrene (24.8 + 14.3), α-Guaiene (134 ± 78), α-Caryophyllene (340 ± 196), Δ-Cadinene (48.3 ± 27.0), α-Selinene (507 ± 292), 5-Azulenemethanol (62.9 ± 36.3), Germacrene D (596 ± 482), (+)-β-Gurjunene (746 ± 431), Total (2946 ± 2392)	
<i>Polyalthia sumatrana</i>	Limonene (3.3 ± 0.6), α-Pinene (32.8 ± 17.3), Total (24.1 ± 18.8)	α-Copaene (2.8 ± 1.6), β-Caryophyllene (6.9 ± 2.9), γ-Elemene (4.8 ± 4.0), α-Cubebene (1.7 ± 1.0), α-Ylangene (19.4 ± 11.2), (-)-β-Elemene (1.85 ± 1.51), 1,4,7-Cycloundecatriene-1,5,9,9-tetramethyl-Z,Z,Z- (3.7 ± 2.2), Allomadendrene (2.8 ± 1.6), γ-Cadinene (7.5 ± 4.4), β-Selinene (4.0 ± 3.3), Globulol (1.6 ± 0.9), α-Selinene (2.3 ± 1.3), Total (43.2 ± 33.2)	<i>P. cerasoide</i> (Kanokmedhakul <i>et al.</i> 2007) [130], <i>P. longifolia</i> (Ogunbinu <i>et al.</i> 2007) [131], <i>P. suaveolens</i> (Nyegue <i>et al.</i> 2008) [132]
<i>Popowia pisocarpa</i>	Limonene (3.9), Total (87.1)	β-Burbonene (5.6), β-Caryophyllene (270), (+)-Aromadendrene (36.9), E-α-Bisabolene (36.9), (-)-α-Selinene (161), α-Cubebene (62.1), 8-Isopropanyl-1,5-dimethyl-cyclodeca-1,5-diene (14.9), Bicyclo[4,4,0]dec-1-ene-2-isopropyl-5-methyl-9-methylene (6.5), E-α-Bergamotene (49.7), α-Caryophyllene (93.6), γ-Cadinene (81.2), β-Selinene (178), β-Bisabolene (6.8), (+)-Spathulenol (7.5), Germacrene D (37.2), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (77.2), Total (929)	<i>P. caimito</i> (Adron <i>et al.</i> 1972) [133], <i>P. splendens</i> (Sotes <i>et al.</i> 2006) [134]
<i>Pouteria malaccensis</i>			
<i>Pterospermum stapfianum</i>	Camphene (41.2), Limonene (17.1), α-Pinene (94.5), Total (152.8)	α-Copaene (6.1), β-Caryophyllene (6.0), α-Cubebene (3.2), α-Caryophyllene (11.5), γ-Cadinene (14.6), β-Selinene (201), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (77.2), Veridiflorol (24.1), α-Selinene (190), Total (457)	
<i>Reinwardtiodendron humile</i>		α-Copaene (518 ± 226), β-Caryophyllene (396 ± 228), (+)-Aromadendrene (65.2 ± 37.6), α-Cubebene (30.9 ± 17.8), α-Caryophyllene (12670 ± 285), β-Elemene (13.9 ± 8.0), (-)-β-Elemene (192 ± 157), α-Amorphene (58.7 ± 33.9), Calarene (299 ± 244), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (23.0 ± 13.3), Azulene (363 ± 221), α-Murolene (32.7 ± 26.7), Viridiflorol (30.5 ± 17.7), α-Gurjunene (147 ± 85), E-Caryophyllene (1187 ± 684), Germacrene D (100 ± 82), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (150 ± 87), α-Guaiene (158 ± 78), Bicyclogermacrene (626 ± 361), α-Caryophyllene (12670 ± 285), (+)-Spathulenol (7.1 ± 4.1), Total (3899 + 2510)	

<i>Shorea acuta</i>	Limonene (49.1), β -Ocimene (560), Linalool (154), α -Terpinene (2990), β -Myrcene (36.3), Δ -3-Carene (32.8), Total (3822)	γ -Elemene (565), α -Cubebene (163), β -Elemene (10435), Germacrene B (4469), Germacrene D (5024), Total (20657)	<i>S. species</i> (Bisset <i>et al.</i> 1971) [135], <i>S. negrosensis</i> (Ishi & Kadoya 2003) [136]	
<i>Shorea fallax</i>	Limonene (1.14 \pm 0.93), α -Pinene (0.71 \pm 0.58), Total (1.85 + 1.51)	β -Caryophyllene (1.5 \pm 1.2), \square α -Cubebene (14.2 \pm 11.1), (-)- β -Elemene (13.6 \pm 11.1), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (27.9 + 16.2), Bicyclogermacrene (202 \pm 165), β -Sesquiphellandrene (16.6 \pm 13.5), E-Caryophyllene (38.8 \pm 25.0), Allomadendrene (3.1 \pm 2.6), α -Caryophyllene (22.0 \pm 13.5), β -Selinene (118 \pm 96), Germacrene D (224 \pm 187), Total (692 \pm 2278)		
<i>Shorea johorensis</i>	Limonene (6.8 \pm 5.6), α -Terpinene (37.8 \pm 30.9), α -Pinene (14.8 \pm 8.3), Total (59.3 \pm 31.9)	β -Caryophyllene (25.3 \pm 20.7), α -Cubebene (5.2 \pm 3.4), β -Elemene (31.5 \pm 27.5), α -Amorphene (6.4 \pm 3.7), Bicycloelemene (3.2 + 2.6), E-Caryophyllene (324 \pm 205), Bicyclogermacrene (132 \pm 83), Germacrene B (39.1 \pm 31.9), α -Caryophyllene (201 \pm 125), Germacrene D (294 \pm 64), Total (1062 \pm 436)		
<i>Sindorea irpicina</i>		α -Copaene (33.1 \pm 15.3), β -Caryophyllene (4.3 \pm 2.5), γ -Elemene (133 \pm 108), β -Elemene (803 \pm 563), β -Cubebene (29.5 \pm 17.1), Calarene (51.3 \pm 41.9), Δ -Elemene (29.4 \pm 24.0), E-Caryophyllene (91.5 \pm 52.9), Bicyclogermacrene (298 \pm 172), Germacrene B (2.9 \pm 2.3), α -Caryophyllene (15.5 \pm 3.3), β -Selinene (239 \pm 195), Germacrene A (55.6 \pm 32.1), Δ -Cadinene (3.8 \pm 2.2), Germacrene D (60 \pm 33), Total (1404 \pm 851)	<i>S. sumatrana</i> (Heymann <i>et al.</i> 1994; Jang <i>et al.</i> 2004) [137, 138]	
<i>Swintonia acuta</i>	Limonene (1.75), α -Pinene (1.84), Total (3.6)	β -Caryophyllene (132), α -Cubebene (3.7), α -Ylangene (14.3), Bicycloelemene (3.3), Bicyclogermacrene (35.2), α -Caryophyllene (95.1), Total (284)		
<i>Symplocos fasciculata</i>		β -Caryophyllene (288), γ -Elemene (5358), α -Cubebene (88.7), 8-Isopropanyl-1,5-dimethyl-cyclodeca-1,5-diene (4045), Bicycloelemene (1327), Bicyclogermacrene (2383), α -Caryophyllene (86.6), Total (13577)		
<i>Syzygium campanulatum</i>	β -Pinene (30.0 \pm 24.5), Limonene (22.5 \pm 1.9), β -Ocimene (212 + 122), γ -Terpinene (0.96 \pm 0.78), Linalool oxide (1.53 \pm 0.88), Linalool (21.6 \pm 12.4), α -	α -Copaene (6.8 \pm 3.9), α -Santalene (70.4 \pm 40.6), β -Caryophyllene (107 \pm 62), E- α -Bisabolene (4.9 \pm 2.8), E- γ -Bisabolene (3.2 \pm 1.8), γ -Elemene (2.8 \pm 2.3), α -Cubebene (38.4 \pm 22.1), (-)- β -Elemene (7.8 \pm 6.4), α -Amorphene (4.5 \pm 2.6), Δ -Elemene (26.2 \pm 21.4.), 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-methylene-cyclohexene (2.9 \pm 1.9), E- α -Bergamolene (2.4 \pm 2.0), α -Caryophyllene (82.6 \pm 3.0), α -Muurolene (1.8 \pm 1.1), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (6.2 \pm 3.6), Total (273 \pm 121)	<i>S. aromaticum</i> (Gopalakrishnan 1994; López <i>et al.</i> 2006) [139, 140], <i>S. formosanum</i> (Chang <i>et al.</i> 1999) [141]	

	Terpinene (2.52 ± 1.46), α -Pinene (26.7 ± 15.4), Sabinene (5.5 ± 3.2), Total (226 ± 68.5)		
<i>Tarbernaemontana macrocarpa</i>	Limonene (22.3), α -Pinene (10.1), Total (32.4)	Bicyclogermacrene (10.8), α -Caryophyllene (20.9), Germacrene D (4.7), Total (36.1)	<i>T. markgrafiana</i> (Nielsen <i>et al.</i> 1994) [142]
<i>Tarenna cumingiana</i>	β -Pinene (528), Limonene (22.8), α -Pinene (1082), β -Myrcene (54.9), Total (1687)	α -Copaene (1879), β -Caryophyllene (71.2), E- α -Bisabolene (5010), α -Cubebene (202), (-)- β -Elemene (2079), 8-Isopropanyl-1,5-dimethyl-cyclodeca-1,5-diene (201), Δ -Elemene (158), Bicyclo[3,1,1]hept-2-ene-2,6-dimethyl-6-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)- (442), E- α -Bergamolene (4815), β -Sesquiterpene (399), α -Caryophyllene (1746), γ -Cadinene (282), β -Selinene (757), Allomadendrene (162), β - Bisabolene (4518), Selina-3,7(11)-diene (3869), (+)-Spathulenol (161), Germacrene D (356), Total (27109)	<i>T. madagascariensis</i> (Salmoun <i>et al.</i> 2007) [143]
<i>Uncaria cordata</i>	Limonene (5.7), α -Pinene (2.57), Total (8.3)		
<i>Uvaria sorzogonensis</i>	Camphene (93.8), Limonene (8.6), Total (102)	β -Caryophyllene (12.5), α -Guaiene (3.4), Germacrene B (7.5), α -Caryophyllene (13.8), (+)-Spathulenol (62.1), Total (99.0)	<i>U. species</i> (Parmar <i>et al.</i> 1994) [144], <i>U. lucida</i> (Weenen & Nkunya 1990) [145], <i>U. scheffleri</i> (Nkunya 2005) [146], <i>U. tanzaniae</i> (Weenen <i>et al.</i> 1991) [147]
<i>Xanthophyllum affine</i>			

We show only the chemical species that were determined with more than 95% of certainty according with literature spectra. Values between brackets are mean ± S.E. ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$). The existence of previous studies on mono- and sesquiterpenes for the same species and genus are reported in the last column.

species of these genera studied here had not been previously reported as mono- and/or sesquiterpene containing species (Table 2, supplementary material). We did not detect terpenes in the species studied of the genera *Popowia* and *Xanthophyllum*. As far as we know, no previous studies have described terpene content in species of these genera. We report for the first time that two of the three *Dipterocarpus* studied species (*D. sarawakensis* and *D. applanatus*), are terpene containing species. The third studied species, *D. gracilis*, had already been reported as containing different caryophyllene isomers [69], but we have determined for the first time α -Cubebene, β -Cubebene, Bicyclogermacrene, γ -Cadinene, Germacrene D and Selina-3,7,(11)-diene for this species. Finally, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* had already been reported as terpene containing species [70].

This high proportion of terpene containing taxa in this old tropical forest suggests that terpene content can be a favourable selective trait in these environmental conditions. These results also suggest that terpene accumulation can be widespread in tropical rainforest plants. Further research is necessary to corroborate whether this higher frequency of species terpene accumulators in tropical rainforest than in other forest ecosystems is a general trend or not, and to gain knowledge on the ecological significance of this higher frequency of accumulator species in these tropical ecosystems. Moreover, Courtois *et al.* (2009) [67] have also observed in a set of 55 tree species of a rainforest in the French Guiana that all studied species emitted VOCS, most of them mono- and sesquiterpenes, further suggesting the widespread terpene production of rainforest trees.

There were poor relationships between leaf terpene concentrations and phylogeny among the 75 studied species. This agrees with results observed in similar studies in other floras such as those for 73 Oahu forest species [26]. Moreover, the number of different mono- and sesquiterpene chemical species per each plant species did not present phylogenetic signal. As far as we know, there is a lack of comparable studies on the phylogenetic signal of leaf terpene concentrations in a broad set of plant species but the results are in accordance with terpenes being found in most plant Families [71], and also with studies finding genes linked to terpene biosynthesis pathways in several plant groups [72].

Higher nutrient availability may be expected to translate into higher carbon fixation and activity of the enzymes involved in isoprenoid production [38, 40]. But it might also be expected the other way around, that a higher nutrient availability translates into more growth than allocation to carbon based secondary compounds such as terpenes, following the “carbon excess” hypotheses [42, 44]. The available literature does not show clear general relationships between leaf N and P concentrations and/or availability with leaf terpene concentrations [34, 73]. This study confirms most of the available literature: Leaf terpene concentration had no relationship with nutrient concentrations. The lack of relationships between leaf terpene concentration and leaf nutrient concentrations does not thus support the “nutrient driving synthesis hypothesis” [39, 40], nor the “carbon excess hypotheses”. In general, previous studies have not detected relationships between N and P concentrations or availability and leaf terpene concentrations. For example, although NPK fertilization increased terpene concentrations in *Chrysanthemum boreale* [33], and increased some monoterpene compounds and decreased others in *Larix laricina* [74], no relationships have been observed between P concentration and terpene concentration in the leaves of *Eucalyptus polybractea* [75], or in the leaves of *Pinus halepensis* and *Quercus ilex* [34]. Studies on the relationships between leaf N and terpene concentrations, although scarce, are more abundant than those of P concentrations and terpene concentrations relationships. While some studies have observed an increase [76-78] or decrease [79] in leaf terpene concentrations with increasing N availability and/or concentration, other studies have not either detected a clear relationship [34, 44, 75, 80], or have shown a different direction of the relationship depending on the species [32] or depending on the leaf age [81]. Thus, the results of the study observing no relationships between leaf N concentration with terpene concentration largely agree with previous literature, suggesting multiple factors affecting the nutrient-terpene relationship including the strength of the nutrient limitation and the ecological benefits of terpene production in each environmental circumstance.

The observed negative relationships between leaf terpene concentration and leaf length corroborates previous studies that have shown that leaf morphological traits exert some effect on terpene concentration storage capacity through regulation of gland dimensions [75] or in the accumulation of enzymes responsible for terpene synthesis per unit of leaf area [82]. However, we did

not find any significant relationship between leaf mono- and sesquiterpene concentration and the other leaf morphological traits nor with leaf photosynthetic capacity.

Thus, there were not general relationships between leaf terpene concentrations and leaf traits related to defence and to production capacity. This can be due to the highly diverse functions of terpenes and not related to a single compound or structure. The relationships between herbivores and plants in tropical rainforest are very diverse [30, 61]. Previous studies have also observed poor relationships between leaf anatomical traits and leaf terpene concentrations in tropical rainforest [83]. Certainly, as commented previously, terpenes can act as herbivore deterrents [18, 19] mainly against generalist herbivores [20-22], but they also have other properties, such as defence against fungi and pathogens [25] and against abiotic stresses such as high temperature, ozone or excess of radiation [4, 5, 84] and signaling and communication [11, 85]. These roles for terpenes can be advantageous in tropical ecosystems where the radiation intensity and temperatures are high and where there are multiple and diverse biotic interactions due to the high species diversity and organisms density.

Summarizing, the high proportion of species that accumulate terpenes and the general lack of phylogenetic conservatism suggest that terpene accumulation is a widespread trait in this tropical forest that confers adaptive advantage in all plant taxon spectrum across a wide range of angiosperm phylogeny. The negative correlation between monoterpene concentration and leaf length might suggest a link between leaf anatomy and the capacity to store terpenes. Functions such as temperature protection, radiation protection or signaling and communication could underlie the high frequency of terpene-containing species of this tropical ecosystem which has multiple and very diverse interactions among multiple species.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Malaysian and Sabah Governments for their permission to conduct research in Malaysia; Waidi Sinun of Yayasan Sabah and his staff and Glen Reynolds of the Royal Society's South East Asian Rainforest Research Programme and his staff for logistical support at the Danum Valley Field Centre; the Danum Valley Management Committee for full approval and support of the research; the University Malaysia Sabah for cooperation; and the UK NERC OP3/ACES research programme for logistical and infrastructure support. This is paper number SSEAARRP 527 of the Royal Society's South East Asian Rainforest Research Programme. We also thank Alona Linatoc and Prof. M. Noh Dalimin from the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for full approval and technical support of the research, and B. Bala-Ola from SEARRP for botanical assistance. We thank Theodore Garland Jr. for providing the statistical programs used for phylogenetic analyses. This research was supported by the Spanish Government projects CGL2013-48074-P, Consolider Ingenio Montes (CSD2008-00040), by the Catalan Government project SGR 2014-274 and by the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research (grant SF1090065s07) and by the European Research Council project ERC-2013-SyG-610028 IMBALANCE-P.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information accompanies this paper on <http://www.acgpubs.org/RNP>

References

- [1] J. Llusia and J. Peñuelas (2001). Emission of volatile organic compounds by apple trees under spider mite attack and attraction of predatory mites, *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **25**, 67-77.
- [2] G.S. Wheeler, J.M. Massey and J.A. Southwell (2002). Antipredator defence of biological control agent *Oxyops vitiosa* is mediated by plant volatiles sequestered from the host plant, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **28**, 297-315.
- [3] J. Langenheim (2003). Plant resins. Chemistry, evolution, ecology and ethnography. Timber Press Inc. Portland, USA.
- [4] J. Peñuelas and J. Llusia (2003). BVOCs: Plant defence against climate warming? *Trend Plant Sci.* **8**, 105-109.

- [5] J. Peñuelas and J. Llusia (2004). Plant VOC emissions: making use of the unavoidable, *Trend Ecol. Evol.* **19**, 402-404.
- [6] J. Peñuelas and S. Munné-bosch (2005). Isoprenoids: an evolutionary pool for photoprotection, *Trend Plant Sci.* **10**, 166-169.
- [7] T.D. Sharkey and E.L. Singaas (1995). Why plants emit isoprene, *Nature* **374**, 769.
- [8] J. Peñuelas and J. Llusia (2001). The complexity of factors driving volatile organic compound emissions by plants, *Biol. Plantarum* **44**, 481-487.
- [9] J. Peñuelas and J. Llusia (2002). Linking photorespiration, monoterpenes and thermotolerance in *Quercus*, *New Phytol.* **155**, 227-237.
- [10] I. Copolovici, I. Filella, J. Llusia, Ü. Niinemets, and J. Peñuelas (2005). The capacity for thermal protection of photosynthetic electron transport varies for different monoterpenes in *Quercus ilex*, *Plant Physiol.* **139**, 485-496.
- [11] J. Peñuelas, J. Llusia, D. Asensio and S. Munné-bosch (2005). Linking isoprene with plant thermotolerance, antioxidants and monoterpene emissions, *Plant Cell Environ.* **28**, 278-286.
- [12] P. Kainulainen, J. Oksanen V. Palomäki, J.K. Holopainen and T. Holopainen (1992). Effect of drought and waterlogging stress on needle monoterpene of *Picea abies*, *Can. J. Bot.* **70**, 1613-1616.
- [13] J. Llusia and J. Peñuelas (1998). Changes in terpene content and emission in potted Mediterranean woody plants under severe drought, *Can. J. Bot.* **76**, 1366-1373.
- [14] F. Loreto and V. Velikova (2001). Isoprene produced by leaves protects the photosynthetic apparatus against ozone damage, quenches ozone products, and reduces lipid peroxidation of cellular membranes, *Plant Physiol.* **127**, 1781-1787.
- [15] F. Loreto, P. Pinelli, F. Manes and H. Kollist (2004). Impact of ozone on monoterpene emissions and evidence for an isoprene-like antioxidant action of monoterpenes emitted by *Quercus ilex* leaves, *Tree Physiol.* **24**, 361-367.
- [16] S. Munne-bosch, J. Peñuelas, A. Asensio and J. Llusia (2004). Airborne ethylene may alter antioxidant protection and reduce tolerance of holm oak to heat and drought stress, *Plant Physiol.* **136**, 2937-2947.
- [17] J. Llusia, J. Peñuelas, D. Asensio and S. Munne-bosch (2005). Airborne limonene confers limited thermobalance to *Quercus ilex*, *Physiol. Plantarum* **123**, 40-48.
- [18] R.N. Bennett, and R.M. Wallsgrove. (1994). Secondary metabolites in plant defence mechanisms, *New Phytol.* **127**, 617-633.
- [19] J.S. Sorensen, J.D. Mclister and M.D. Dearing (2005). Novel plant secondary metabolites impact dietary specialists more than generalist (*Neotoma* sp.), *Ecology* **86**, 140-154.
- [20] C.A. Mihaliak, D. Couvet and D.E. Lincoln (1987). Inhibition of feeding by a generalist insect due to increased volatile leaf terpenes under nitrate-limiting conditions, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **13**, 2059-2067.
- [21] I. Landau, H. Mullerscharer and P.I. ward (1994). Influence of cnicin, a sesquiterpene lactone of *Centaurea maculosa* (*Asteraceae*), on specialist and generalist herbivores, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **20**, 929-942.
- [22] T.E. Mote, J.J. Villalba and F.D. Provenza (2007). Relative availability of Tannins- and terpene-containing foods affects food intake and preference by lambs, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **33**, 1197-1206.
- [23] D.R. Crankshaw, J.H. Langenheim (1981). Variation in terpenes and phenolics through leaf development in *Hymenaea* and its possible significance to herbivory, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **9**, 115-124.
- [24] J.H. Langenheim and W.H. Stubblebine (1983). Variation in leaf resin composition between parent tree and progeny in *Hymenaea*-implications for herbivory in the humid tropics, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **11**, 97-106.
- [25] J. Gershenzon, and N. Duradeva (2007). The function of terpene natural products in the natural world, *Nature Chem. Biol.* **3**, 408-414.
- [26] J. Sardans, J. Llusia, Ü. Niinemets, S.M. Owen and J. Peñuelas (2010). Foliar mono- and sesquiterpene contents in relation to Leaf Economic Spectrum in native and alien species in Oahu (Hawaii), *J. Chem. Ecol.* **36**, 210-226.
- [27] P.M. Vitousek, J.R. Walker, J.D. Whiteaker and P.A. Matson (1993). Nutrient limitations to plant-growth during primary succession in Hawaii-Volcanos-National-Park, *Biogeochemistry* **23**, 197-215.
- [28] D.W. Davidson, S.C. Cook, R.R. Snelling, and T.H. Chua (2003). Explaining the abundance of ants in lowland tropical rainforest canopies, *Science* **300**, 969-972.
- [29] S.J. Richardson, D.A. Peltzer, R.B. Allen, M.S. Mcglone and R.L. Parfitt (2004). Rapid development of phosphorus limitation in temperate rainforest along the Franz Josef soil chronosequence, *Oecologia* **139**, 267-276.
- [30] P.V.A. Fine, Z.J. Miller, I. Mesones, S. Irazuzta, H.M. Appel, M.H.H. Stevens, I. Saaksjarvi, J.C. Schultz, and P.D. Coley (2006). The growth-defence trade-off and habitat specialization by plants in Amazonian forest, *Ecology* **87**, S150-S162.
- [31] Y. Son, Z. S. Kim, J. H. Wang, and J.S. Park (1998). fertilization effects on growth, foliar nutrients and extract concentration in ginkgo seedlings, *J. Kor. For. Soc.* **87**, 98-105.

- [32] P. Kainulainen, J. Utriainen, J.K. Holopainen, J. Oksanen and T. Holopainen (2000). Influence of elevated ozone and limited nitrogen availability on conifer seedlings in an open-air fumigation system: effects on growth, nutrient content, mycorrhizae, needle ultrastructure, starch and secondary compounds, *Global Change Biol.* **6**, 345–355.
- [33] K.D. Lee, M.S. Yang, Supanjani and D.L. Smith (2005). Fertilizer effect on the yield and terpene components from the flowerheads of *Chrysanthemum boreale* M. (*Compositae*). *Agron. Sust. Devel.* **25**, 205-211.
- [34] J. Blanch, J. Peñuelas, J. Sardans, and J. Llusia (2009). Drought, warming and soil fertilization effects on leaf volatile terpene concentrations in *Pinus halepensis* and *Quercus ilex*, *Acta Physiol. Plantarum* **31**, 207-218.
- [35] S.D. Leonhardt and N. Blüthgen (2009). A sticky affair: resin collection by Bornean stingless bees, *Biotropica* **41**, 730-736.
- [36] C.A.H. Flechtmann, A.L.T. Ottati, and C.W. Berisford (1999). Attraction of ambrosia beetles (Coleoptera: *Scolytidae*) to different tropical pine species in Brasil, *Environ. Entomol.* **28**, 649-658.
- [37] E. Guimaraes, L.C. di stasi and R.C.S. Maimoni-rodella (2008). Pollination biology of *Jacaranda oxyphylla* with an emphasis on staminode function, *An. Bot.* **102**, 699-711.
- [38] F. Otálora-luna, I.A. Hammock, R.T. Alessandro, S.L. Lapointe and J.C. Dickens (2009). Discovery and characterization of chemical signals for citrus root weevil, *Diaprepes abbreviatus*, *Arthropod-Plant Inter.* **3**, 63-73.
- [39] P.C. Harley, M.E. Litvak T.D. Sharkey and R.K. Monso (1994). Isoprene emission from velvet bean leaves' interactions among nitrogen availability, growth photon flux density, and leaf development, *Plant Physiol.* **105**, 279-285.
- [40] M.E. Litvak, F. Loreto, P.C. Harley, T.D. Sharkey and R.K. Monson (1996). The response of isoprene emission rate and photosynthetic rate to photon flux and nitrogen supply in aspen and white oak trees, *Plant Cell Environ.* **19**, 549–559.
- [41] W.E. Loomis (1932). Growth–differentiation balance vs. carbohydrate–nitrogen ratio, *Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* **29**, 240–245.
- [42] J.P. Bryant, F.S. Chapin III, and D.R. Klein (1983). Carbon/nutrient balance of boreal plants in relation to vertebrate herbivory, *Oikos* **40**, 357–368.
- [43] D.A. Herms and W. J. Mattson (1992). The dilemma of plants: to grow to defend, *Quart. Rev. Biol.* **67**, 283-335.
- [44] J. Peñuelas and M. Estiarte (1998). Can elevated CO₂ affect secondary metabolism and ecosystem functioning? *Trend Ecol. Evol.* **13**, 20-24.
- [45] J.G. Hamilton, A. R. Zangerl, E.H. deLucia and M.R. Berenbaum (2001). The carbon-nutrient balance hypothesis: its rise and fall, *Ecol. Lett.* **4**, 86-95.
- [46] P.D. Coley, J.P. Bryant, and F.S. Chapin III (1985). Resource availability and plant antiherbivore defense, *Science* **230**, 895-899.
- [47] P.V.A. Fine, I. Mesones, and P.D. Coley (2004). Herbivores promote habitat specialization by trees in Amazonian forest, *Science* **305**, 663-665.
- [48] M.J. Endara, and P.D. Coley (2011). The resource availability hypothesis revisited: a meta-analysis, *Funct. Ecol.* **25**, 389-398.
- [49] M. Nakamura, T. Hina, E. Nabeshima and T. Hiura (2008). Do spatial variation in leaf traits and herbivory within a Canopy respond to selective cutting and fertilization? *Can. J. For. Res.* **38**, 1603-1610.
- [50] R.M. Fincher, L.A. Dyer, C.D. Dodson, J.J. Richards, M.A. Tobler, J. Searcy, I. Mather, A.J. Reid, J.S. Rolig, and W. Pideock (2008). Inter- and intraspecific comparisons of antiherbivore defenses in three species of rainforest understory shrubs, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **34**, 558-574.
- [51] Ü. Niinemets, A. Portsmouth, D. Tena, M. Tobias and F. Valladares (2007a). Do we underestimate the importance of leaf size in plant economics? Disproportionate scaling of support costs within the spectrum of leaf physiognomy, *An. Bot.* **100**, 283-303.
- [52] Ü. Niinemets, A. Portsmouth and M. Tobias (2007b). Leaf-shape and venation pattern alter the support investments within leaf lamina in temperate species: a neglected source of leaf physiological differentiation? *Funct. Ecol.* **21**, 28-40.
- [53] M.P. Eichhorn, K.C. Fagan, S.G. Compton, D.H. Dent, and S.E. Hartley (2007). Explaining leaf herbivory rates on tree seedlings in a Malaysian rain forest, *Biotropica* **39**, 416-421.
- [54] T.A. Kursar, K.G. Dexter, J. Lokvam, R.T. Pennington, R.T. Pennington, J.E. Richardson, M.G. Weber, E.T. Maurakami, C. Drake, R. McGregor, and P.D. Coley (2009). The evolution of antiherbivore defenses and their contribution to species coexistence in the tropical tree genus *Inga*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 18073-18078.

- [55] S. Rasmann, A.A. Agrawal, S.C. Cook, and A.C. Erwin (2009). Cardenolides, induced responses, and interactions between above- and belowground herbivores of milkweed (*Asclepias* spp.), *Ecology* **90**, 2393-2404.
- [56] K. Kitayama, N. Majalap-lee and S.I. Aiba (2000). Soil phosphorus fractionation and phosphorus-use efficiencies of tropical rainforest along altitudinal gradients of Mount Kinabalu, Borneo, *Oecologia* **123**, 342-349.
- [57] K. Kitayama, S.I. Aiba, M. Takayu, N. Majalap-lee and R. Wagai (2004). Soil phosphorus fractionation and phosphorus-use efficiency of a Bornean tropical montane rain forest during soil aging with podzolization, *Ecosystems* **7**, 259-274.
- [58] N. Nomura and K. Kikuzawa (2003). Productivity phenology of tropical montane forest: fertilization experiments along a moisture gradient, *Ecol. Res.* **18**, 573-586.
- [59] G.D. Paoli, L.M. Curran, and D.R. Zak (2005). Phosphorus efficiency of Bornean rain forest productivity: evidence against the unimodal efficiency hypothesis, *Ecology* **86**, 1548-1561.
- [60] G.D. Paoli (2006) Divergent leaf traits among congeneric tropical trees with contrasting habitat associations on Borneo, *J. Trop. Ecol.* **22**, 397-408.
- [61] J. Peñuelas, J. Sardans, J. Llusia, J. Silva, S.M. Owen, B. Bala-ola, A.C. Linatoc, M. Noh Dalimin and Ü. Niinemets (2013). Herbivory is related to leaf elemental content and stoichiometry but not to leaf phenolics and morphological traits of early and late successional dominant plant species in a Borneo rainforest, *Plant Ecol. Diver.* **6**, 245-256.
- [62] J. Peñuelas, J. Sardans, J. Llusia, S.M. Owen and Ü. Niinemets (2011). Lower P contents and more widespread terpene presence in old Bornean than in young Hawaiian tropical plant species guilds, *Ecosphere* **2**, 1 - 19.
- [63] G. Marigo (1973). Sur une méthode de fractionnement et d'estimation de composés phénoliques chez les végétaux., *Analisis* **2**, 106-110.
- [64] C.O. Webb and M.J. Donoghue (2005). Phylomatic: tree assembly for applied phylogenetics, *Mol. Ecol. Not.* **5**, 181-183.
- [65] S.P. Blomberg, T.J. Garland, and A.R. Ives (2003). Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile, *Evolution* **57**, 717-745.
- [66] S.R. Lavin, W.H. Karasov, A.R. Ives, K.M. Middleton and T. Jr. Garland (2008). Morphometrics of the avian small intestine compared with that of nonflying mammals: A phylogenetic approach, *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **81**, 526-550.
- [67] E.A. Courtois, C.E.T. Paine, P.A. Blandinieres, D. Stien, J.M. Bessiere, E. Houel, C. Baraloto, and J. Chave (2009). Diversity of the volatile organic compounds emitted by 55 species of tropical trees: a survey in French Guiana, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **35**, 1349-1362.
- [68] J. Llusia and J. Peñuelas (2000). Seasonal patterns of terpene content and emission from seven Mediterranean woody species in field conditions, *Am. J. Bot.* **87**, 133-140.
- [69] A. Messer, K. McCormick, Sunjaya, H.H. Hagedorn, F. Tumbel and J. Meinwald (1990). Defensive role of tropical tree resins: antitermic sesquiterpenes from southeast asian *Dipterocarpaceae*, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **16**, 3333-3352.
- [70] C.Y. Chen, W.L. Yang, and Y.R. Hsui (2010). A novel sesquiterpenoid from the roots of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*, *Nat. Prod. Res.* **24**, 423-427.
- [71] J.T. Knudsen, R. Eriksson, R. Gershenson and B. Stahl (2006). Diversity and distribution of floral scent, *Bot. Rev.* **72**, 1-120.
- [72] F. Chen, D. Tholl, J. Bohlmann, and E. Pichersky (2011). The family of terpene synthases in plants: a mid-size family of genes for specialized metabolism that is highly diversified throughout the kingdom, *Plant J.* **66**, 212-229.
- [73] E.R. Ormeño, R. Olivier, J.P. Mévy, V. Baldy and C. Fernández (2009). Compost may affect volatile and semi-volatile plant emissions through nitrogen supply and chlorophyll fluorescence, *Chemosphere* **77**, 94-104.
- [74] J.S. Powell and K.P. Raffa (1999). Sources of variation in concentration and composition of foliar monoterpenes in tamarack (*Larix laricina*) seedlings: roles of nutrient availability, time of season, and plant architecture, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **25**, 1771-1797.
- [75] D.J. King, R.M. Gleadow and I.E. Woodrow (2004). Terpene deployment in *Eucalyptus polybractea*; relationships with leaf structure, environment stresses, and growth, *Funct. Plant Biol.* **31**, 451-460.
- [76] D.G. McCullough and H.M. Kulman (1991). Effects of nitrogen fertilization on young jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) and on its suitability as a host for jack pine budworm (*Choristoneura pinus pinus*) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), *Can. J. For. Res.* **21**, 1447-1458.
- [77] D.J. King, R.M. Gleadow and I.E. Woodrow (2006). The accumulation of terpenoid oils does not incur a growth cost in *Eucalyptus polybractea* seedlings, *Funct. Plant Biol.* **33**, 497-505.

- [78] E. Ormeño, V. Baldy, C. Ballini and C. Fernández (2008). Production and diversity of volatile terpenes from plants on calcareous and siliceous soils: effect of soil nutrients, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **34**, 1219-1229.
- [79] C.A. Mihaliak and D.E. Lincoln (1985). Growth-pattern and carbon allocation to volatile leaf terpenes under nitrogen-limiting conditions in *Heterotheca subaxillaris* (Asteraceae), *Oecologia* **66**, 423-426.
- [80] J.K. Holopainen, R. Rikala, P. Kainulainen and J. Oksanen (1995). Resource partitioning to growth, storage and defence in nitrogen-fertilized Scots pine and susceptibility of the seedlings to the tarnished plant bug *Lygus rugulipennis*, *New Phytol.* **131**, 521-532.
- [81] P. Kainulainen, J. Holopainen, V. Palomäki and T. Holopainen (1996). Effects of nitrogen fertilization on secondary chemistry and ectomycorrhizal state of Scots pine seedlings and on growth of grey pine aphid, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **22**, 1573-1561.
- [82] Ü. Niinemets, K. Hauff, N. Bertin, J.D. Tenhunen, R. Steinbrecher and G. Seufert (2002). Monoterpene emissions in relation to foliar photosynthetic and structural variables in Mediterranean evergreen *Quercus* species, *New Phytol.* **153**, 243-256.
- [83] H. Kurokawa and T. Hakashizuka (2008). Leaf herbivory and decomposability in a Malasian tropical rain forest, *Ecology* **89**, 2645-2656.
- [84] J. Peñuelas and M. Staudt (2010). BVOCs and global change, *Trend Plant Sci.* **15**, 133-144.
- [85] J. Peñuelas, J. Llusia and M. Estiarte (1995). Terpenoids: a plant language, *Trend Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 289.

ACG
publications

© 2015 ACG Publications