

Rec. Nat. Prod. 10:2 (2016) 176-188

records of natural products

# The Volatile Composition of Portuguese Propolis Towards its Origin Discrimination

# Soraia I. Falcão<sup>1,2</sup>, Cristina Freire<sup>2</sup>, A. Cristina Figueiredo<sup>3</sup> and Miguel Vilas-Boas<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CIMO - Escola Superior Agrária, Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Sta. Apolónia, 5300-237 Bragança, Portugal.

<sup>2</sup>*REQUIMTE* - Departamento de Química e Bioquímica, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, s/n, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

<sup>3</sup>Universidade de Lisboa, Faculdade de Ciências de Lisboa, Departamento de Biologia Vegetal, Instituto de Biotecnologia e Bioengenharia, Centro de Biotecnologia Vegetal, C2, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

(Received January 06, 2014; Revised November 22, 2014; Accepted April 06, 2015)

**Abstract:** The volatiles from thirty six propolis samples collected from six different geographical locations in Portugal (mainland, Azores archipelago and Madeira Island) were evaluated. *Populus x canadensis* Moenchen leaf-buds and *Cistus ladanifer* L. branches essential oils were comparatively analysed. The essential oils were isolated by hydrodistillation and analysed by Gas Chromatography (GC) and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). Cluster analysis based on propolis samples volatiles chemical composition defined three main clusters, not related to sample site collection. Cluster I grouped 28 samples with high relative amounts of oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes (20-77%), while cluster II grouped 7 samples rich in oxygen-containing monoterpenes (9-65%) and the only sample from cluster III was monoterpene hydrocarbons rich (26%). Although *Populus x canadensis* and *Cistus ladanifer* were associated as resin sources of Portuguese propolis, other *Populus* species as well as plants like *Juniperus* genus may contribute to the resin in specific geographical locations.

**Keywords:** Propolis; volatiles; *Populus x canadensis*; *Cistus ladanifer*; GC; GC-MS. © 2015 ACG Publications. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Over the last thirty years, propolis has been attracting researcher's interest all over the world. This fascinating bee product is the result of the collection of resins, bud exudates and part of plants by bees [1]. Propolis is a multifunctional material used by bees in the construction and defence of their hives [2]. It has been proposed that it has a role in the immunity of honeybees, reducing the risk of disease and parasite transmission through the colony [3]. Nowadays propolis is extensively used in food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industry due to its wide range of biological properties presented

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: E-Mail: <u>mvboas@ipb.pt</u>; Phone: +351 273 303237 Fax: +351 273 325405

[4,5].

Propolis is composed by an extremely complex mixture of natural substances, with several hundred of different chemical compounds and highly dependent on the plants available around the hive, and therefore on the geography and climatic conditions of the site [3,6]. Overall, the so called bee glue is composed by a balsamic part derived from the collected plant parts which contains 40-70% of resin (mainly phenolic compounds) and 3-5% of essential oils and a non-balsamic part which is added by bees and contains 20-35% of wax, 5% of pollen and 5% of other compounds (minerals, polysaccharides, proteins, etc.) [1]. According to the botanical origin and consequently its composition, propolis was typified worldwide: in temperate zones the bud exudates of *Populus* species and their hybrids are the main source of the bee glue [2]. The typical components of poplar propolis are the phenolics: flavonoid aglycones (flavones and flavanones), phenolic acids and their esters [2,7]. On the other hand, in tropical regions of the world, where poplars are not native, plant sources are much diversified. The highest commercial valorised propolis type is known as ''green propolis'', which predominates in the southeast of Brazil, it has is origin in the leaves of *Baccharis dracunculifolia* and is mainly composed by prenylated *p*-coumaric acids and caffeoyl quinic acids [2]

Even though volatile compounds are found in smaller concentrations, they play an important role in propolis characterization and can enhance the potential uses due to their aroma and significant biological activity. Furthermore, their composition can give valuable information about plant sources in the origin of propolis. Mono- and sesquiterpenes were identified as the major components of propolis although the diversity of volatile compounds present is very high [2]. Research studies concerning European propolis volatile composition revealed a predominance of sesquiterpenes in Bulgarian propolis [8], monoterpenes in Dalmatian [9] and Greek propolis [10] and organic compounds like benzyl alcohol, benzoic acid and benzyl benzoate in Slavonia propolis [9]. Recently the volatile profile of propolis samples from acaricide-treated and–untreated behives in the south Portugal was evaluated, the samples presenting an intense rock-rose aroma supported by the presence of characteristic *Cistus* and labdanium oil volatile components [11].

Portugal is a country of botanical diversity which is reflected in propolis different compositions. Our former studies allowed the establishment of two distinct groups of propolis based on the phenolic profile [12]. In this work we characterize the volatile composition of propolis samples from the different regions of Portugal, mainland and islands, with the purpose of creating a pattern for origin discrimination of the samples and contributing to standardize this bee product. Additionally, the volatiles of two plant extracts, mentioned as propolis floral sources in temperate areas [2], *Populus x canadensis* buds and *Cistus ladanifer*, were used for comparison with the propolis profile.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Propolis samples

This work was performed in thirty six propolis samples from different regions of continental Portugal (north - N; central interior - CI; central coast - CC; south - S), Azores archipelago (A) and Madeira Island (M) accordingly to the availability and the beekeeping activity within the region (see supplementary material, Figure. S1). Table 1 shows the general status of propolis samples: year, geographical collection sites and collection method. They were obtained after the honey harvesting season, randomly by conventional scraping (1) or through plastic screens boards (2), depending on the method used by the local beekeeper. After the removal of debris, wood and bees, these propolis samples were then stored at -20 °C until analysis.

## 2.2 Plant Material

The study was performed on plant samples available in the hive neighborhood that were reported as propolis floral sources [2]. *Populus x canadensis* Moenchen (P) leaf-buds and *Cistus ladanifer* L. (C) branches, in the floral stage, were collected from wild growing plants in the Bragança region, northeast Portugal, in the spring of 2009, Table 1. Voucher specimens were deposited at the herbarium of Escola Superior Agrária of Instituto Politécnico de Bragança under voucher number

BRESA 5174 and BRESA 5355, for C and P, respectively. All plant material were stored at -20°C until oil extraction and analysis.

Sample Code	Sample Code Region Geographical		Year	Collection
	8	location		method
Propolis				
N1	North	Bragança	2007	1
N2		Bragança	2007	2
N3		Bragança	2008	2
N4		Bragança	2009	1
N5		Bragança	2009	1
N6		Bragança	2009	1
N8		Mirandela	2009	2
N9		Chaves	2009	2
N10		Chaves	2009	2
N11		Montalegre	2009	1
N12		Boticas	2009	1
N13		Boticas	2009	1
N14		Barcelos	2010	1
CI4		Nisa	2009	2
CC1	Central coast	Figueira da Foz	2009	2
CC2		Leiria	2009	1
CC3		Coruche	2009	2
CC4		Ramada	2009	1
S1	South	Aljezur	2009	1
S2		Aljezur	2009	1
<b>S</b> 3		Aljezur	2009	1
<b>S</b> 4		Moncarapacho	2009	1
A1	Azores Archipelago	Terceira Island	2009	1
A2	1 8	S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A3		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A4		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A5		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A6		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A7		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A8		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A9		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A10		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
A11		S. Miguel Island	2009	1
M1	Madeira Island	Funchal	2009	1
M2		Funchal	2009	1
M3		Funchal	2009	1
Plant				
material				
Р	North	Bragança	2009	-
С	North	Bragança	2009	-
1-Conventional scr	aning. 2-Plastic screen h	oards		

**Table 1.** Propolis and plant material samples.

1-Conventional scraping; 2-Plastic screen boards

#### 2.3. Volatiles extraction

Prior to the extraction, the samples were grounded and homogenized. Propolis and the plant material (approximately 8 g) were submitted to hydrodistillation for 3 h using a Clevenger-type apparatus according to the European Pharmacopoeia method [13]. The volatile isolation procedure was run at a distillation rate of 3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, and the oils were recovered in distilled *n*-pentane, concentrated at room temperature under a slight nitrogen flux, and stored at -20°C in the dark until analysis.

# 2.4. Volatiles analysis

# 2.4.1. Gas Chromatography (GC)

Gas chromatographic analyses were performed using a Perkin Elmer Autosystem XL gas chromatograph equipped with two flame ionization detectors (FIDs), a data handling system and a vaporizing injector port into which two columns of different polarities were installed: a DB-1 fusedsilica column (100% polydimethylsiloxane, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25  $\mu$ m; J & W Scientific Inc., Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) and a DB-17HT fused-silica column [(50% phenyl)methylpolysiloxane, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.15  $\mu$ m; J & W Scientific Inc.]. Oven temperature was programmed, 45-175°C, at 3°C.min<sup>-1</sup>, subsequently at 15°C.min<sup>-1</sup> up to 300°C, and then held isothermal for 10 min; injector and detector temperatures, 280°C and 300°C, respectively; carrier gas, hydrogen, adjusted to a linear velocity of 30 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>. The samples were injected using split sampling technique, ratio 1:50. The volume of injection was 0.1  $\mu$ L of a pentane-volatiles solution (1:1). The percentage composition of the volatiles was computed by the normalization method from the GC peak areas, calculated as mean values of two injections from each sample, without using correction factors.

#### 2.4.2. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

The GC-MS unit consisted on a Perkin Elmer Autosystem XL gas chromatograph, equipped with DB-1 fused-silica column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25  $\mu$ m; J & W Scientific, Inc.), and interfaced with a Perkin-Elmer Turbomass mass spectrometer (software version 4.1, Perkin Elmer, Shelton, CT, USA). Injector and oven temperatures were as above; transfer line temperature, 280°C; ion source temperature, 220°C; carrier gas, helium, adjusted to a linear velocity of 30 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>; split ratio, 1:40; ionization energy, 70 eV; scan range, 40-300 u; scan time, 1 s. The identity of the components was assigned by comparison of their retention indices, relative to C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>25</sub> *n*-alkane indices and GC-MS spectra from a home-made library, constructed based on the analyses of reference oils, laboratory-synthesised components and commercial available standards.

#### 2.5. Statistical analysis

The percentage composition of the isolated volatiles was used to determine the relationship between the different samples by cluster analysis using Numerical Taxonomy Multivariate Analysis System (NTSYS-pc software, version 2.2, Exeter Software, Setauket, New York) [14]. For cluster analysis, correlation coefficient was selected as a measure of similarity among all accessions, and the Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetical Averages (UPGMA) was used for cluster definition. The degree of correlation was evaluated according to the previously described [15] and classified as very high (0.9-1), high (0.7-0.89), moderate (0.4-0.69), low (0.2-0.39) and very low (<0.2).

# 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Portuguese propolis volatile composition

All propolis volatiles were obtained in a yield <0.05% (v/w). The volatile component isolated from each individual propolis sample was a complex mixture in which two hundred and one components were identified. Each identified volatile component is listed in Table 2, following their elution order on the DB-1 column, and arranged according to the three types of volatile oils defined by agglomerative cluster analysis. The table includes the lowest and the highest percentages found for each component in each volatile oil type.

Despite the major chemical variability, the sesquiterpene fraction was dominant in all thirty six samples analysed (17-83%), while the monoterpene fraction ranged from 1 to 66%, Table 2. A fraction named as others ranged from 4 to 36%. This fraction corresponds to components that were neither terpenes nor phenylpropanoids or fatty acids, comprising aliphatic and aromatic alcohols, carbonyl compounds and hydrocarbons.

Cluster analysis based on the volatiles chemical composition grouped the 36 samples in three main clusters (Figure 1, Table 2). Cluster I was poorly correlated with cluster II ( $S_{corr} < 0.4$ ), and both showed a very low correlation with cluster III ( $S_{corr} < 0.2$ ) (Figure 1). With 28 of the samples, cluster I represented 78% of the sampling, including samples from the Azores Archipelago, the central coast and the majority of the samples from north, south and Madeira Island (Figure 1). Cluster I samples showed high relative amounts of oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes (20-77%), sesquiterpene

# Volatile composition of Portuguese propolis

hydrocarbons (1-35%) and compounds from the group of others (4-36%). Monoterpenes, phenylpropanoids and fatty acids were found in relative amounts <10%.  $\gamma$ -Eudesmol (3-18%),  $\beta$ -eudesmol (2-26%),  $\alpha$ -eudesmol (24%) and  $\alpha$ -bisabolol (48%) were the most abundant oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes. Nevertheless, not all these compounds were found in every sample, in fact  $\alpha$ -bisabolol was detected in just four out of the twenty eight samples of this cluster, A1 from Azores Archipelago, CC1 and CC2 from central coast and M3 from Madeira Island.

	/ /			P	lant								
Components	RI <sub>E</sub>	RI	Cluster I Cluster II						I Cluster		Sources		
	2	2	Min	Max	Aver	Min	Max	Aver	III	Р	С		
<i>n</i> -Heptanal	897						t						
<i>n</i> -Nonane	900						t						
Tricyclene	921	927 <sup>[16]</sup>					0.1				0.2		
α-Thujene	924	929 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		0.1						
Benzaldehyde	927	960 <sup>[17]</sup>		0.4	t		0.2			t			
α-Pinene	930	931 <sup>[16]</sup>		4.7	0.5	t	1.3	0.3	18.8		1.6		
Camphene	938	938 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.2	t		0.7	0.1	0.4		1.3		
Thuja-2,4(10)-diene*	940			0.6	t		1.2	0.2	0.5		0.4		
<i>n</i> -Heptanol	952						t	t					
<i>tert</i> -Butyl valerate	956			t	t								
Sabinene	958	959 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		0.1	t					
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	960	966 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.3	t		0.1	t			0.4		
1-Octen-3-ol	961	972 <sup>[16]</sup>					t	t					
β-Pinene	963	962 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.5	t		0.1	t	5.5				
Hexanoic acid (= Caproic acid)	968			t	t								
2-Pentyl furan	973	975 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		t	t			0.2		
<i>n</i> -Octanal	973			1.0	0.2	t	0.8	0.3					
β-Myrcene	975	991 <sup>[17]</sup>		0.2	0.1								
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	978	978 <sup>[16]</sup>					t	t					
<i>p</i> -Cresol methyl ether	987			0.2	t						0.3		
α-Phellandrene	995	986 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		t	t					
Verbenene*	998			t	t		t	t					
Benzyl alcohol	1000	1004 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.1	t								
Salicyaldehyde*	1000			1.9	0.1								
Benzene acetaldehyde	1002	1006 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t								
α-Terpinene	1002	1017 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.1	t		0.6	0.1			0.4		
<i>p</i> -Cymene	1003	1004 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		0.5	0.1	0.1				
2,6,6-Trimethyl cyclohexanone	1003			2.0	0.2		5.4	0.9		t	29.8		
1,8-Cineole	1005	1010 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t								
β-Phellandrene	1005	1030 <sup>[17]</sup>		0.2	t		0.1	t	t		0.1		
Limonene	1009	1014 <sup>[16]</sup>		1.1	0.1		0.1	t	0.2		0.1		
<i>cis</i> -β-Ocimene	1017	1015 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t								
Acetophenone	1017	1026 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.8	0.1		0.1	t		0.1	0.8		
<i>trans</i> -β-Ocimene	1027	1026 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t								
γ-Terpinene	1035	1060 <sup>[17]</sup>		0.2	t		0.9	0.1			0.2		
<i>cis</i> -Linalool oxide	1045			t	t						0.2		
<i>n</i> -Octanol	1045	1068 <sup>[17]</sup>		t	t								
Fenchone	1050	1087 <sup>[17]</sup>					0.4	0.1					
Methyl benzoate	1053	1093 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t								
2-Nonanone	1058			t	t								
2,5-Dimethyl styrene	1059	1099 <sup>[17]</sup>		0.2	t		0.3	0.1			0.3		
Terpinolene	1064	1089[17]		t	t		0.2	t					
6-Methyl-3 5-hentadien-2-one	1064	'		0.1	t		03	t			0.2		

**Table 2.** Percentage of volatiles of Portuguese propolis and plant sources. (*Samples for each cluster are defined according to Figure 1*).

			Propolis							Plant	
Components	RI <sub>E</sub>	RIL	(	Cluster	I	(	luster	II	Cluster	Sou	irces
-			Min	Max	Aver	Min	Max	Aver	III	Р	С
Phenyl ethyl alcohol	1064			0.1	t						
<i>n</i> -Nonanal	1073	1073 <sup>[16]</sup>		2.0	0.6	t	1.2	0.5	0.3		0.7
Linalool	1074	1082 <sup>[16]</sup>		2.7	0.7	t	1.5	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.6
Chrysanthenone	1081								t		
<i>cis</i> -Rose oxide	1083			t	t		0.2	t			
$\alpha$ -Fenchol (= <i>endo</i> -fenchol)	1085			0.1	t		0.1	t	t		
α-Campholenal	1088			t	t		0.8	0.1	0.6		0.9
trans-p-menth-2-en-1-ol	1095						t	t			
<i>trans</i> -Rose oxide	1100			0.3	t						
Camphor	1102	1107 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		0.2	t			0.5
trans-Pinocarveol	1106			0.5	0.1		1.1	0.3	2.7		1.9
cis-Verbenol	1110			t	t		0.4	0.1	0.6		0.4
trans-Verbenol	1114						1.2	0.3	1.1		1.5
trans-Tagetone	1116			t	t						
<i>trans</i> -Pinocamphone	1121							t	0.2		0.5
Pinocarvone	1121	$1165^{[17]}$					0.8	0.1	0.2		0.5
cis-Tagetone	1123	1100		t	t		0.0	011	0.2		0.0
Benzyl acetate	1123			10	01		05	0.1		t	
2- <i>trans</i> -Nonen-2-al	1123			0.1	t.i		0.0	0.1		ť	
Nerol oxide	1127			0.1	t		03	t			
a-Phellandrol	1127			0.4	t		0.5	01			
B-Mentha-1 5-dien-8-ol*	1134			0.4	t		17	0.1			34
Borneol	1134	1137 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.4	0.1		1.7	0.2	11	t	5.4
<i>n</i> -Methylacetonhenone	1143	1157		0.5	0.1 t		1.7 t	0.5 t	1.1	ı	t
Terninen_4_ol*	1143	1177[16]		12	0.2		10	02	0.6		00
Octanoic acid	1152	1180[16]		0.4	0.2 t		1.0	0.2	0.0	+	0.9
Myrtenal	1152	1100		0.4	ι		0.6	0.1	0.4	ι	0.4
Methyl salveilate	1150			0.2	t		0.0	0.1	0.4		0.4
a Terpineol	1159	1157[16]		0.2	ι 01		0.2	0.1	25	+	0.1
Safranal	1159	1157		0.0	0.1 t		0.2	0.1	2.5	ι	0.1
Verbenone	1164	1170[16]		ι	ι		03	+			
2 Decenone	1166	1170		0.1	t		0.5	ι			16
2-Decatione Murtenol	1160	1106[17]		0.1	ι +		1.0	0.2	1.0		1.0
ais n Monthen 2 one*	1100	1190		0.0	ι +		1.0	0.2	1.9		
n Decenel	1172			1.2	0.2	+	1.0	0.6			
n-Decalial	1180	1217[17]		1.2	0.2	ι	1.0	0.0	0.5		07
in Osimonono	1200	1217		0.4			0.5	0.1	0.5		0.7
Comune	1200	1242[17]		0.4	ι		0.2	0.1			0.9
	1200	1245		0.1			l t	0.0			0.2
Conversel methyl other	1207			0.1	l t		ι	ι			0.4
2 Decemb	1224			ι 0.2	l t		0.1				
2-Decenar	1224			0.2	t 0.1		0.1	t			0.2
2-Phenyl ethyl acetate	1228	1252[17]		0.7	0.1		0.3	t			0.2
	1236	1253		0.7	0.2		0.2	0.1		0.1	t
Ethyl gualacol*	1242			0.0	0.1		t	t			
Nonanoic acid (= pelargonic acid)	1263	1050[16]		0.8	0.1		0.4	0.1			t
Bornyl acetate	1265	1259		0.3	0.1		0.7	0.1	t		1.8
trans-Cinnamyl alcohol	1268	1000[17]		0.2	t	0.0	<i>c</i> + <i>c</i>	24 -		0.5	
Thymol	1275	1290 <sup>[17]</sup>		6.5	0.6	8.2	64.3	34.6	t	0.5	
Carvacrol	1286	1299		0.4	0.1		0.4	0.1			t
Dihydrolinalyl acetate*	1217						0.3	t			0.2

Volatile composition of Portuguese propolis	S
---	---

			Propolis							P	lant
Components	RI <sub>E</sub>	RIL	Cluster I			Cluster II			Cluster	So	urces
			Min	Max	Aver	Min	Max	Aver	III	Р	С
2-Phenyl ethyl propionate	1321			0.5	t						
Eugenol	1327	1327 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.3	t		t	t		1.0	0.1
Octyl isobutyrate	1338								t		
α-Cubebene	1345	1351 <sup>[16]</sup>		0.6	t		0.5	0.1	0.1		
7-Acetyl-2,6,6-trimethylbicyclo [4.2.0]											
octane*	1346						0.2	t			0.4
Decanoic acid (= capric acid)	1350			2.7	0.4		0.3	0.2			t
Cyclosativene	1363	1378 <sup>[18]</sup>									0.2
Geranyl acetone	1370	1426 <sup>[16]</sup>					t	t			
α-Ylangene	1371			0.6	0.1		0.1	t		0.1	
α-Copaene	1375	1377 <sup>[16]</sup>		3.9	0.2		1.0	0.1		0.9	
β-Bourbonene	1379			2.5	0.1		1.3	0.3	0.5		
Ylang-2,4(15)-diene*	1398			0.3	t		0.2	t			
Longifolene	1399			0.5	t		0.2	t	1.5		
α-Ionone	1399						t	t			
α-Cedrene	1400			0.8	0.1						
trans-Cinnamyl acetate	1414			t	t		t	t			
β-Cedrene	1414			0.2	t						
β-Caryophyllene	1414	1415 <sup>[16]</sup>		1.2	0.2		0.5	0.2	1.5	0.1	
β-Copaene	1426	1430 <sup>[18]</sup>		0.2	t		0.3	0.1			
<i>trans</i> -α-Bergamotene	1434	1434 <sup>[18]</sup>		0.5	0.1		t	t			
β-Ylangene	1435			0.3	t						
Guaia-6,9-diene	1447			0.8	t		t	t			
α-Humulene	1447	1439 <sup>[16]</sup>		1.2	0.1		0.3	0.1	t	t	
allo-Aromadendrene	1456	1460 <sup>[16]</sup>		2.6	0.4		1.5	0.4		1.5	t
Geranyl propionate	1461										t
trans-Cadina-1(6),4-diene	1469	1472 <sup>[18]</sup>		2.1	0.2		0.2	0.1		0.2	
γ-Muurolene	1469	1474 <sup>[18]</sup>		2.3	0.4		1.7	0.6		1.3	
α-Amorphene	1469			0.5	t						
(10,11)-Epoxycalamenene*	1469						t	t		0.7	
Germacrene D	1474	1474 <sup>[16]</sup>		t	t		0.4	0.1			
ar-Curcumene	1475			1.3	0.1						
γ-Curcumene	1475			1.7	0.1						
β-Selinene	1476	1486 <sup>[18]</sup>		0.2	t					0.3	
Valencene	1484			0.9	0.2		0.4	0.1			
Viridiflorene	1487						1.1	0.2			0.3
α-Muurolene	1494	1494 <sup>[16]</sup>		1.7	0.3		0.7	0.2		1.9	
γ-Cadinene	1500	1507 <sup>[18]</sup>		3.5	1.1		2.2	0.8		4.9	
<i>n</i> -Pentadecane	1500			1.5	0.2		t	t	t		
β-Bisabolene	1500	1506 <sup>[17]</sup>		1.4	0.1						
trans-Calamenene	1505	1517 <sup>[18]</sup>		1.6	0.4		0.7	0.2	t	1.7	t
δ-Cadinene	1505	1513 <sup>[16]</sup>	0.3	7.6	1.8	0.4	3.5	1.6	1.1	6.8	0.2
α-Calacorene	1525	1525 <sup>[16]</sup>		2.4	0.3		0.8	0.3		0.7	t
Isocaryophyllene	1528			0.3	t		t	t		0.2	
α-Cadinene	1529			0.5	0.1		0.1	t		0.8	
Elemol	1530			t	t						
1-epi-3,4-Dehydroviridiflorol*	1533						1t	2.7			
Hexenyl benzoate*	1533			0.1	t						
trans-a-Bisabolene	1536			0.2	t						
trans-Nerolidol	1549	1547 <sup>[16]</sup>		8.8	0.4		0.5	0.1	2.0		
β-Calacorene*	1550			t	t						
β-Caryophyllene alcohol	1550						0.3	t			0.1

			Propolis								lant
Components	RI <sub>E</sub>	RI	Cluster I			(	Cluster	II	Cluster	Sources	
	Ľ	Ľ	Min	Max	Aver	Min	Max	Aver	III	Р	С
Dodecanoic acid	1551			2.0	0.1		t	t			
Spathulenol	1551	1557 <sup>[16]</sup>		1.2	0.2	0.2	1.3	0.9		0.1	0.2
Caryophyllene oxide	1561	1565 <sup>[16]</sup>					0.9	0.2	6.3		0.1
Globulol	1566	1571 <sup>[16]</sup>					2.5	0.7			
Viridiflorol	1569			8.1	1.3	t	10.2	2.1		0.1	7.0
Cedrol	1574	1601 <sup>[17]</sup>		9.6	0.6		t	t	2.4		
Guaiol	1575			3.4	0.6		t	t			
Anhydrooplopanone	1576						2.1	0.6			
β-Oplopenone	1576			3.7	0.6		1.2	0.2		0.9	
Ledol	1580	1590 <sup>[16]</sup>		3.0	0.3		4.7	1.5			2.4
Humulene epoxide II	1580	1608 <sup>[17]</sup>							1.0		
1.2-Dehvdroviridiflorol*	1582						3.5	0.9			
<i>n</i> -Tetradecanal	1596			t	t		t	t			
<i>n</i> -Hexadecane	1600			1.1	t						
1-eni-Cubenol	1600	1629 <sup>[17]</sup>		1.1	0.2		0.2	0.1		0.5	
v-Eudesmol	1609	102/	3.1	18.0	8.4	2.1	9.0	3.8		7.6	0.1
T-Cadinol	1616	1616 <sup>[16]</sup>	1.0	8.8	4.0	1.5	7.2	3.2		89	0.4
δ-Cadinol	1618	1010	1.0	3.4	0.1	1.5	7.2	5.2		0.7	0.1
a-Muurolol	1618	$1627^{[16]}$		5.4 t	0.1 t		51	13			
β-Fudesmol	1620	1027	21	25.5	11.2	1.0	12.7	4.4	13	20.4	0.4
1 2-Debydroglobulol*	1623		2.1	25.5	11.2	1.0	2.6	0.7	1.5	20.4	0.4
Valerianol	1623			13.5	12		2.0	0.7		t	03
a-Cadinal	1625	1637[16]		15.5	1.2		2.1 8 0	2.5		ť	0.5
a-Bisabolol oxide B*	1620	1057		05	0.4		0.7	2.5			
a Eudesmol	1634			24.5	127		12.6	4.1	0.0	20.6	03
Cadalene*	1640			0.5	12.7		0.3	+.1 t	0.7	20.0	0.5
cis trans Estrasol	1648			0.0	0.2		0.5	t		0.1	0.1
a Pisebolol	1656	1656[16]		447	0.2						
ani a Pisabolol	1658	1050		44./ 0.8	2.1		+	+			
ept-a-Bisabolol	1038		0.2	0.0	1.2	0.2	ו 21	ι 11	1 1		0.1
<i>n</i> -Heptadecale	1700		0.2	4.4	0.2	0.2	5.1	1.1	1.1	ι	0.1
g Discholol orido A*	1701			4.5	0.2				1.4		0.1
u-Bisaboloi oxide A*	1702	1740[16]		ι	ι						
Description action and a standard and a standard a standa	1725	1/49		4 4	0.2						l 4
	1704			4.4	0.2		ι	ι			ι
	17/0			t O 5	t O 1						
Benzyl sancylate	1790			9.5	0.4		0.4	0.1			
<i>n</i> -Octadecane	1800			0.8	t O 1		0.4	0.1			
<i>n</i> -Hexadecanol	1821			/.0	0.4						0.2
UI Labdanes I	1821			8.2	0.4						0.3
UI Labdanes 2	1829			1.1	t						
<i>n</i> -Heptadecanal	1894			1.1	0.1	0 7		•	•		0.0
<i>n</i> -Nonadecane	1900			8.9	2.1	0.7	5.1	2.0	2.9		0.3
Hexadecanoic acid	1908			2.9	0.2		t	t		1.1	
Ethyl hexadecanoate	1936			1.0						0.1	
8-epi-13-nor-Ambreinolide*	1965			1.0	0.1						
<i>n</i> -Eicosane	2000			0.8	0.1		0.4	0.1	0.8	t	a -
2-Phenyl ethyl phenyl acetate*	2017	<b>a</b> o ==[17]									3.6
Abietatriene	2027	2057		t	t						
Phytol acetate	2047			1.1	0.2						
Manool*	2047			7.6	0.3				1.6		

Volatile com	position	of Portug	niese	propolis
v oracine com	position	OI I OIG	-ucbc	propond

						Propo	olis			Р	lant
Components	RI <sub>E</sub>	RIL		Cluste	r I	(	Cluster	II	Cluster	So	urces
			Min	Max	Aver	Min	Max	Aver	III	Р	С
Abietadiene	2060	2088 <sup>[17]</sup>		0.9	t						
Musk ambrette*	2061			0.3	t		0.2	0.1			
<i>n</i> -Octadecanol (= Steryl alcohol)	2071			0.9	t		t	t			
cis,trans-Farnesol tiglic ester	2098						t	t			
<i>n</i> -Heneicosane	2100		0.2	7.1	1.8	0.5	1.5	1.0	2.2	0.7	
Linoleic acid	2125									0.2	
Ethyl linoleate	2137									0.5	
<i>n</i> -Docosane	2200			1.7	0.5		0.9	0.4	1.1	0.1	
Labd-7-en-15-ol	2201			5.1	0.3						
Sandaracopimarinol*	2219			0.3	t						
trans-Totarol	2259			6.9	0.3						
<i>n</i> -Eicosanol	2265			0.8	0.1		0.4	0.1			
<i>n</i> -Tricosane	2300			6.1	1.5		2.3	0.7	3.0		
<i>n</i> -Tetracosane	2400			8.3	0.7				2.0		
<i>n</i> -Pentacosane	2500			2.9	0.9		1.2	0.5	1.6	0.3	
Grouped Components											
Monoterpene hydrocarbons				5.8	0.8	t	5.5	1.1	25.5		4.3
Oxvgen-containing monoterpenes			0.3	8.4	2.2	8.6	65.2	38.0	12.7	0.9	16.0
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons			0.6	35.0	6.5	1.0	13.5	5.6	4.7	21.5	0.8
Oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes			20.4	76.9	44.6	16.3	49.3	30.5	13.9	59.8	11.3
Diterpene hydrocarbons				9.2	0.5						0.3
Oxygen-containing diterpenes				14.8	0.9				1.6		
Phenylpropanoids				0.3	0.0		t	t		1.0	0.1
Fatty acids				4.0	0.8		0.6	0.2		1.3	t
Others			3.6	36.2	12.2	4.8	13.8	8.7	16.4	1.8	39.0

 $RI_E$  – Experimentally obtained retention index relative to  $C_8$ - $C_{25}$  *n*-alkanes on the DB-1 column;  $RI_L$  – Retention Index from literature; Min – Minimum value; Max – Maximum value; Aver – Average value; P- *Populus x canadensis*; C – *Cistus ladanifer*; t - trace (<0.05%); \* Identification based on mass spectra only; unless otherwise stated, an empty cell means that the compound was not detected in the sample; UI –Unidentified.

Cluster II, with seven samples, comprised some from the north (N5, N11-14), one from the south (S4) and the sample CI4 from central interior (Figure 1). Cluster II was mainly characterized by high oxygen-containing monoterpenes content (9-65%), oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes (16-49%) and the fraction designated as others (5-14%) (Table 2, Figure 1). Cluster II was sub-divided in two moderately correlated sub-clusters ( $S_{corr} = 0.44$ ). The sub-cluster comprising samples N5 and N14 (North), S4 (South) and CI4 (central interior) was richer in oxygen-containing monoterpenes while N11, N12 and N13 (North) showed a higher content in oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes. Thymol (8-64%), 1-epi-3,4-dehydroviridiflorol (10%), viridiflorol (t-10%),  $\beta$ -eudesmol (1-13%),  $\alpha$ -eudesmol (13%) were the main compounds present in this cluster samples volatiles. The high thymol content in most of these samples is probably linked with the long-term use of this compound as acaricide in the treatment against the ectoparasitic mite Varroa destructor, as pointed out by Miguel et al. [11]. These authors also found a predominance of viridiflorol, n-tricosane and n-nonadecane in the majority of propolis samples volatiles from the Algarve region. Comparing Miguel et al. [11] data with the present results, from samples obtained within the same region, but from different geographical locations, all samples volatiles had in common a high content in viridiflorol (5-8%) and *n*-nonadecane (3-7%), with exception for sample S1, from the east coast in which  $\beta$ -eudesmol (14%) and  $\alpha$ -eudesmol (18%) were the main components.

Cluster III, with only one sample from Madeira Island (M2), was mainly composed by monoterpene hydrocarbons (26%), the others fraction (16%), oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes (14%) and oxygen-containing monoterpenes (13%) (Table 2, Figure. 1). Unlike the other propolis clusters, cluster III was  $\alpha$ -pinene rich (19%) followed by  $\beta$ -caryophyllene oxide (6%) and  $\beta$ -pinene (6%), and

showed lower relative amounts of  $\alpha$ -eudesmol and  $\beta$ -eudesmol.  $\alpha$ -Pinene dominance was also detected in propolis from Greek regions [10]. The abundance of the monoterpene fraction, with a high  $\alpha$ -pinene content, was described in the species of the genus *Juniperus*, particularly *Juniperus cedrus* [19,20], which is endemic to Madeira Island and could therefore be a possible contributor for this propolis sample volatiles.



**Figure 1.** Dendrogram obtained by cluster analysis of the percentage composition of volatiles isolated from propolis based on correlation and using unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA). Twenty-eight samples constituted cluster I, seven cluster II and one cluster III. For abbreviations see section 2.1.

The composition of the volatile oils isolated from the 36 propolis samples did not allow grouping them according to their geographical collection site. Moreover, no relationship could be established between the chemical composition of the volatiles and the collection year. In this context, the chemical polymorphism recorded could be mainly a result of the local flora characteristics at the bee harvesting site, which is discussed in the following section.

## 3.2. Essential oil composition of plant sources

The odour of propolis is empirically used by beekeepers to assess its floral origin, which reflects the floral sources present around the hive. To address the floral origin of propolis, the evaluation of the

volatile composition of the leaf-buds of the hybrid *Populus x canadensis* and branches of *Cistus ladanifer* was included in the study, as two potential floral sources referred by propolis producers. *Populus x canadensis* is a hybrid species very common in Portugal and therefore a potential source of resin for the honeybees. *Cistus ladanifer* (rock rose), an wild odorous shrub widespread in the mediterreanean region [21], was also studied as potential plant source due to its great abundance in some hive neighbourhoods but also based on the typical rock rose aroma presented by some of the propolis samples.

The essential oil isolated from the poplar buds was clear, colourless and attained a yield <0.05% (v/w). Forty eight volatile components were identified, representing 86% of the overall essential oil, Table 1. Oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes were the main group of constituents (60%), followed by sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (22%). Monoterpenes, diterpenes and others were found only in very low percentage ( $\leq 2\%$ ), Table 2, Figure 1. Among the main groups, the sesquiterpene alcohols  $\alpha$ -eudesmol (21%),  $\beta$ -eudesmol (20%),  $\gamma$ -eudesmol (8%), T-cadinol (9%), the sesquiterpenes hydrocarbons  $\delta$ -cadinene (7%) and  $\gamma$ -cadinene (5%) were predominant. The high level of eudesmol isomers found in the present study was also described in the volatile composition of other poplar species leaf-buds, particularly *Populus nigra* and *Populus balsamifera* [22,23].

*Populus* species are described to be the main source of propolis in temperate regions of the world [2]. *Populus x canadensis* essential oil composition was comparable with the one obtained for cluster I samples, with a dominance of oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes, especially  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -eudesmol. The presence of high levels of bisabolol in some propolis samples within this cluster is not consistent with the profile observed for the poplar specie under study; however, we must consider that other poplar species may show different volatile profiles. Indeed, the presence of bisabolol was previously described in bud exudates of *Populus generosa* and *Populus candicans* [24,25]. Thus, besides the contribution of *Populus x canadensis* as a source of resin for propolis samples of cluster I, other poplar species can be as well potential contributors and so, creating the diversity found in the samples. Moreover, in cluster II,  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -eudesmol are within the main compounds (at less extent), which are indicative of some contribution of the poplar resin to this type of propolis.

On rock rose essential oil seventy three components were identified, representing 79% of the overall volatiles (Table 2). The fraction named as others (39%), oxygen-containing monoterpenes (16%) and oxygen-containing sesquiterpenes (11%) were the most abundant groups (Table 2). The main components identified in *Cistus ladanifer* volatiles were the aromatic ketone 2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (20%), viridiflorol (7%), 2-phenyl ethyl acetate (4%) and  $\beta$ -mentha-1,5-dien-8-ol (3%). Other compounds like  $\alpha$ -pinene, *trans*-pinocarveol, *trans*-verbenol, 2-decanone, bornyl acetate and ledol, were found in lower percentages. The main characteristic compounds associated in the literature with *Cistus ladanifer* and labdanum oil were present [21,26,27], namely: 2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexanone, acetophenone, viridiflorol, ledol and labdane. Nevertheless, there were some minor differences between our results and previous ones [21,26,27], which are probably linked to the diverse geographical origin of the plants analysed, to different plant parts analysed, or to the fact that under the common name rock rose reported by beekeepers, different *Cistus* species can be included.

Comparing the rock rose volatile profile and to that from propolis samples, only samples CI4 from central interior and S2-4 from the south showed a predominance of viridiflorol (10% and 5-8% respectively). Additionally, these samples also revealed the presence of ledol (2 to 5%) and other components associated with the typical *Cistus* aroma, like, 2,6,6-trimethyl cyclohexanone, acetophenone and labdane compounds [28]. Due to the lack of other resin source in the surroundings of the apiary, *Cistus ladanifer* is likely to be a strong contributor for Portuguese propolis volatiles, particularly in central interior and south regions.

# 4. Conclusions

Volatiles composition, isolated by hydrodistillation from 36 propolis samples from different provenances in Portugal (mainland and islands), were evaluated. Cluster analysis showed a major chemical variability in the volatile profile, displaying three poorly correlated main clusters. *Populus x canadensis* and *Cistus ladanifer* essentials oils chemical composition was compared to those of propolis samples and some correlation was found among them. *Populus x canadensis* essential oil composition was comparable with the one obtained for cluster I samples, with a dominance of oxygen-

containing sesquiterpenes, especially  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -eudesmol. Samples CI4 from central interior and S2-4 from the south showed a predominance viridiflorol and also presence of minor compounds such ledol, 2,6,6-trimethyl cyclohexanone, acetophenone and labdane compounds associated with typical *Cistus* aroma. Thus, in the absence of other resin sources, *Cistus ladanifer* is likely to be a strong contributor for Portuguese propolis volatiles, particularly in central interior and south regions.

The propolis samples volatiles diversity seems to be more dependent on the variability, as well as on the availability, of the flora sources at the site of bee collection, rather than the geographical origin.

#### Acknowledgments

Soraia I. Falcão thanks Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) for the PhD grant SFRH/BD/44855/2008, financially supported by POPH-QREN and FSE. This study was partially funded by FCT, under Pest-OE/EQB/LA0023/2011 and PTDC/CVT-EPI/2473/2012. Thanks to National Federation of Portuguese Beekeepers for propolis samples.

# **Supporting Information**

Supporting Information accompanies this paper on http://www.acgpubs.org/RNP

#### References

- [1] S. Bogdanov and V. Bankova (2011). The propolis book, chapter 1. Retrieved 7 November, 2012 from: http://www.bee-hexagon.net/en/propolis.htm.
- [2] V. S. Bankova, S. L. De Castro and M. C. Marcucci (2000). Propolis: recent advances in chemistry and plant origin, *Apidologie* **31**, 3-15.
- [3] A. Salatino, C.C. Fernandes-Silva, A. A. Righi and M. F. L. Salatino (2011). Propolis research and the chemistry of plant products, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **28**, 925-936.
- [4] A. H. Banskota, Y. Tezuka and S. H. Kadota (2001). Recent progress in pharmacological research of propolis, *Phytother. Res.* **15**, 561-571.
- [5] J. M. Sforcin and V. Bankova (2011). Propolis: Is there a potential for the development of new drugs? *J. ethnopharmacol.* **133**, 253-260.
- [6] M. C. Marcucci (1995). Propolis: Chemical composition, biological properties and therapeutic activity, *Apidologie* **26**, 83-99.
- [7] S. Falcão, M. Vilas-Boas, L. M. Estevinho, C. Barros, M. R. M. Domingues and S. M. Cardoso (2010). Phenolic characterization of Northeast Portuguese Propolis: usual and unusual compounds, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **396**, 887-897.
- [8] V. Bankova, R. Christov, A. Kujumgiev, M. C. Marcucci and S. Popov (1995). Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of Brazilian propolis, *Z. Naturforsch.* **50c**, 167–172.
- [9] I. Borčić, A. Radonić and K. Grzunov (1996). Comparison of the volatile constituents of propolis gathered in different regions of Croatia, *Flav. Frag. J.* **11**, 311–313.
- [10] E. Melliou, E. Sratis and I. Chinou (2007). Volatile constituents of propolis from various regions of Greece Antimicrobial activity, *Food Chem.* **103**, 375-380.
- [11] M. A. Miguel, S. Nunes, C. Cruz, J. Duarte, M. D. Antunes, A. M. Cavaco, M. D. Mendes, A. S. Lima, L. G. Pedro, J. G. Barroso and A. C. Figueiredo (2012). Propolis volatiles characterization from acaricide-treated and –untreated beehives maintained at Algarve (Portugal), *Nat. Prod. Res.* DOI 10.1080/14786419.2012.696261.
- [12] S. I. Falcão, N. Vale, P. Gomes, M. R. M. Domingues, C. Freire, S. M. Cardoso and M. Vilas-Boas (2013). Phenolic profiling of Portuguese propolis by LC-MS spectrometry: uncommon propolis rich with flavonoid glycosides, *Phytochem. Anal.* DOI 10.1002/pca.2412.
- [13] Council of Europe (COE) (2007). European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines, European Pharmacopoeia, 6th ed. COE, Strasbourg.
- [14] J. F. Rohlf (2000). NTSYS-pc, numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system, Applied Biostatistics Inc, New York.
- [15] M. H. Pestana and J. N. Gageiro (2000). Análise de dados para ciências sociais. A complementaridade do SPSS, Edições Sílabo, Lisboa.

- [16] National Institute of Standards and Technology. In NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, P. J. Linstrom, W. G. Mallard (eds). National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- [17] R. P. Adams, *Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/ quadrupole mass spectroscopy*. Allured Publishing Corporation, Illinois, **2001**.
- [18] D. Joulain and W. A. Köning. The Atlas of Spectral Data of Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbons. E.B.-Verlag: Hamburg, 1998.
- [19] R. P. Adams (1998). The leaf essential oils and chemotaxonomy of *Juniperus* sect. *Juniperus*, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **26**, 637-645.
- [20] A. S. Lima, H. Trindade, A. C. Figueiredo, J. G. Barroso and L. G. Pedro (2010). Volatile and molecular analysis of *Juniperus brevifolia* (Seub.) Antoine, an Azorean endemic species, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 38, 621-629.
- [21] J. P. Marriotti, F. Tomi, J. Casanova, J. Costa and A. F. Bernardini (1997). Composition of the essential oil of *Cistus ladaniferus* L. cultivated in Corsica (France), *Flav. Frag. J.* **12**, 147-151.
- [22] I. Jerković and J. Mastelić (2003). Volatile compounds from leaf-buds of *Populus nigra* L. (Salicaceae), *Phytochem.* **63**, 109-113.
- [23] V. A. Isidorov and V. T. Vinogorova (2003). GC-MS analysis of compounds extracted from buds of *Populus balsamifera* and *Populus nigra*, *Z. Naturforsch.* **58c**, 335-360.
- [24] W. Greenaway, S. English and F.R. Whatley (1990). Relationships of *Populus acuminata* and *Populus generosa* with their parental species examined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry of bud exudates, *Can. J. Bot.* **70**, 212–221.
- [25] F. R. Whatley, W. Greenaway and J. May (1989). *Populus candicans* and the balm of gilead, Z. *Naturforsch.* **44c**, 353–356.
- [26] P. S. Ramalho, V. A. P. Freitas, A. Macedo, G. Silva and A. M. S. Silva (1999). Volatiles components of *Cistus ladanifer* leaves, *Flav. Frag. J.* **14**, 300-302.
- [27] P. Weyerstahl, H. Marschall, M. Weirauch, K. Thefeld and H. Surburg (1998). Constituents of commercial Labdanum oil, *Flav. Frag. J.* **13**, 295-318.
- [28] M. G. Miguel, A. C. Figueiredo, L. G. Pedro, J. G. Barroso and J. Casanova (2004). Chemical composition of the essential oils of *Cistus ladanifer* L. from Algarve (Portugal). *Actes du IVe Congrès International Environnement et identité en Méditerrané*.



© 2015 ACG Publications