Microwave-assisted preparation of graphene quantum dots immobilized nanosilica as an efficient heterogeneous nanocatalyst for the synthesis of xanthenes
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Abstract: Graphene quantum dots (GQDs) immobilized nanosilica was prepared under microwave irradiated green reaction conditions and was used as an efficient heterogeneous acid catalyst. The structure of nano-catalyst was characterized by FT-IR, PL, TEM, XRD, FE-SEM, EDS, TGA, and zeta potential. Xanthene derivatives were synthesized in excellent yields using the freshly prepared catalyst and the catalyst could be easily recovered and recycled for 5 times without significant loss of its catalytic activity. The structures of the resulted products were confirmed by FT-IR, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR spectroscopic techniques.
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1. Introduction

Recently carbon based nano materials have moved the attention on nano-carbons toward graphene quantum dots. The inspiration of this area for the interdisciplinary studies, was derived from unique properties of graphene quantum dots such as thermal and electrical conductivity, fluorescent properties, low cytotoxicity and biocompatibility, excellent thermal/chemical stability, and size-tunable properties. All these features provide graphene quantum dots a wide range of applications. These applications include: photovoltaic devices, photocatalysis, bioimaging, solar cells, biosensor, and environmental monitoring.

Graphene quantum dots (GQD) with functional groups such as carboxylic, hydroxyl and epoxy could widely be used in synthetic organic chemistry. Very recently we used functionalized GQDs as a reagent and an effective acidic nano-catalyst for the synthesis of xanthene derivatives. High solubility properties of GQDs and their difficult and time-consuming separation process from the reaction mixture is a defect of these catalysts. In this report, heterogeneous GQD based nano-catalyst was prepared to resolve this problem, via its immobilization on nanosilica.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

All reagents were purchased from Merck Company. Infrared spectra (FT-IR) were recorded with KBr on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were determined in open glass-capillaries using a Stuart melting point apparatus. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker.
Avance AC-300 MHz using CDCl$_3$ as a deuterated solvent and TMS as an internal standard. Catalyst structure was supported by X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker AXS model D8 Advance). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained by Transmission Electron Microscope Zeiss LEO906. Fluorescence spectra and intensity measurements were carried out using an FP-6200 spectrofluorometer (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The morphology of the catalyst was studied by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; Hitachi, model S-4160), and the elements of the catalyst was confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDS; Phenom; model ProX) and elemental analysis (CHNS; Eurovector; model EuroEA3000). Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was determined using Linseis Thermal Balance L81/1750. Microwave experiments were conducted in a Milestone MicroSynth apparatus.

2.2. Synthesis of GQDs

GQDs were synthesized via the down-top method under microwave irradiation (Scheme 1).$^{30-32}$

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of graphene quantum dots (GQDs)](image)

Ammonia solution 25% (2 mL) was added to citric acid (15 g) in a closed vessel. Then the reaction mixture was heated at 145°C with full power MWI (1000 W) for 30 min$^{32}$ after which the reaction mixture was incubated under vacuum at 70°C for 15 min to produce crude GQDs. The size of particles and functional groups were determined by transmission electron microscopy and infrared spectroscopy respectively.

Then, for the preparing of heterogeneous nano-catalyst, GQDs were immobilized on Silica nanoparticles via mixing under microwave irradiation (Scheme 2). This part of the work was conducted with high efficiency under green reaction conditions and the resulted product was quite characterized. To examine the catalytic effectiveness of heterogeneous GQDs-based nano-catalyst and for the comparison with our recently reported method,$^{29}$ it was used for the synthesis of benzoxanthene derivatives (Scheme 2). Xanthenes are very important oxygen-containing heterocyclic compounds because of their wide applications in pharmacy as antiviral, anticancer, antibacterial and etc.$^{29, 34-37}$ Results showed that the products were efficiently prepared in the presence of the freshly prepared heterogeneous catalyst under green reaction conditions and the catalyst was separated very simply filtration. In addition, recyclability and reusability of the catalyst were studied.
2.3. Synthesis of silica nanoparticles

Mixture of ammonia solution 25% (750 μL, 10 mmol), water (1.98 mL) and absolute methanol (100 mL) were added into a 250 mL round-bottom flask. Then, tetraethoxysilane (11 mL, 50 mmol) was added dropwisely into the mixture and the stirring was continued for 3 days at room temperature. The particles size and functional groups were examined using scanning electron microscopy and infrared spectroscopy respectively.

2.4. GQDs immobilization on silica nanoparticles

GQDs and freshly prepared Silica nanoparticles were simultaneously poured into the microwave vessel and the mixture was heated at 125 °C with full power MWI (1000 W) for 15 min. After this, the contents of the vessel were left to cool. For the separation of the heterogeneous catalyst, water was added to the reaction mixture and mixture was stirred vigorously and then the nanoparticles were separated out by centrifugation. This process was repeated several times. The morphology of nano-catalyst and functional groups were confirmed by scanning electron microscopy and infrared spectroscopy respectively.

2.5. General procedure for the synthesis of 14H-dibenzoanthene derivatives

The mixture of 2-naphthol (2 mmol), benzaldehyde derivative (1 mmol) and heterogeneous nano-catalyst (20 mg) was heated at 90°C with full power MWI (400 W) for 10 min. The end of reaction was followed by thin layer chromatography, after which ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added to the mixture and stirred thoroughly. Heterogeneous nano-catalyst was separated via filtration and was washed several times with ethyl acetate and reused. Ethyl acetate was removed from the filtrate under vacuum conditions and crude product was separated. Further purifications were performed by recrystallization on ethyl acetate/n-hexane to afford the pure products in high yields.
3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Characterization of GQDs

The morphology of GQDs was investigated by TEM. As it can be seen from figure 2A, the monodisperse particles were uniform and size of particles were distributed around 15 nm. XRD pattern of GQDs is shown in Figure 1B. In this pattern, a broad peak which appeared at 20 = 20°, is corresponded to the interlayer spacing of GQDs (4.43 Å). GQDs were synthesized via the down-top method and the size of the particles is smaller in comparison with the particle size via our reported top-down method.  

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. (A) TEM image of GQDs and (B) XRD pattern of GQDs

FT-IR spectrum of GQDs (Figure 2A) confirms the presence of the hydroxyl and carbonyl functional groups on graphene quantum dots. The signals at 1729 cm⁻¹ and 3193 cm⁻¹ (broad) are respectively related to the absorption band of stretching vibrations of C=O and stretching vibrations of OH groups. FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3427 (O–H), 1729 (C=O), 1408 (O–H), 1193 (C–O).

Figure 3B displays the photoluminescence spectrum of GQDs while the excited was set in 388 nm and the emission at 502 nm.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

Figure 2. (A) FT-IR spectrum of GQDs and (B) Photoluminescence spectrum of GQDs

3.2. Characterization of nanosilica

The FE-SEM image of silica nanoparticles is shown in Figure 3A. Nanosilica particle size was measured about 25-35 nm. Signals at 3423 cm⁻¹ and 1082 cm⁻¹ in FT-IR spectrum of SiO₂ nanoparticles confirmed the presence of O-H and Si-O functional groups respectively (Figure 3B).
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3.3. Characterization of nano-catalyst

At first the surface modification of nanosilica was confirmed by comparison of the FE-SEM images and the FT-IR spectra of nanosilica and nanocatalyst (Figures 4A, 4B and 4C). Morphology of nanocatalyst is different from nanosilica because of the existence of hydrogen bonds and increasing of the particles size average that is presented in Figures 4A and 4B. In the FT-IR spectrum of nanocatalyst two peaks at 1717 and 3437 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed, which are related to the C=O and OH groups (Figure 5C). FTIR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3437 (O–H), 1717 (C=O), 1087 (O–H).

The surface modification of nanosilica was also confirmed by the comparison of TGA diagrams, EDX analysis and Zeta Potential analysis of Silica nanoparticles and nanocatalyst. The TGA diagrams clearly showed the distinction in the structures of the three compounds namely GQDs, Silica nanoparticles and nanocatalyst (Figure 5). As it can be seen from Figure 5, demolition of silica nanoparticles was shown above 220°C in which staircase destruction for nano-catalyst and a clear degradation for the GQDs could be seen.
The EDX analysis showed the existence of Si and O elements in the nanosilica and C, N, Si and O in the nanocatalyst (Figure 6A, 6B).

The surface charge of the nanocatalyst and nanosilica was determined by zeta potential analysis. The results show that the electrical conductivity of the nanocatalyst is about ten-fold in comparison with nanosilica (Figure 7A, 7B).

The freshly prepared heterogeneous acidic nanocatalyst was examined on the model reaction of 2-naphthol (2 mmol) with benzaldehyde (1 mmol) under MWI (400 W) solvent-free conditions. The
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Experimental optimization was performed for assignment of ratio of the catalyst (g)/ benzaldehyde (mmol) and the best result was found in the ratio of 0.02/1 in 4 min at 90°C (entry 9, Table 1).

**Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions for the product (2a)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Catalyst (mg)</th>
<th>Aldehyde (mmol)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Yield* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>≈20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>≈45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>≈91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>≈91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Isolated yield.

Product 2a was prepared in 92% yield under optimized conditions and its structure was confirmed by FT-IR, 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR spectra. For the examination of the generality of this method, the reaction of a variety of aldehyde derivatives were evaluated for the synthesis of the related 14H-dibenzoxanthene derivatives (Table 2).

FT-IR spectra of freshly prepared and recycled nanocatalyst for the typical reaction of 2-naphthol with benzaldehyde was compared and no significant differences is observed as shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. FT-IR spectra of nanocatalyst: freshly prepared (a), after 6 run (b)](image-url)
Table 2. Synthesis of the 14H-dibenzo xanthene derivatives using heterogeneous catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Aldehyde</th>
<th>Reactant number</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Product Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{H} \textsuperscript{H} \textsuperscript{H}</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{2N} \textsuperscript{O} \textsuperscript{H}</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N\textsuperscript{C} \textsuperscript{N}</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F\textsuperscript{3C} \textsuperscript{O} \textsuperscript{H}</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{2N} \textsuperscript{O} \textsuperscript{H}</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>2e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cl\textsuperscript{H} \textsuperscript{H} \textsuperscript{H}</td>
<td>1f</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>2f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In continue, for the influence of nanocatalyst in this reaction, results were compared with previously reported data. These results showed that the reaction times and yields of current products are significantly improves compared to that of previously reported data (Table 3).

**Table 3. Comparison of the reaction times and yields of products with reported values.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Product number</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>MP (°C)</th>
<th>Yield%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Reported [ref.]</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Reported [ref.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>188-190</td>
<td>186-187 [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>314-316</td>
<td>311-313 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>293-294</td>
<td>291-292 [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>262-263</td>
<td>258-259 [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>212-214</td>
<td>210-212 [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2f</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>291-293</td>
<td>290-292 [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2g</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>249-251</td>
<td>250-252 [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>296-298</td>
<td>297-298 [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>214-216</td>
<td>214-216 [37]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Isolated yield.
Recyclability of nanocatalyst was also evaluated for six periods. For this purpose, the separated catalyst was washed with hot EtOH or acetone to remove the previous reaction mixtures and then used. As it can be seen from Table 4, no significant decrease in the yield of product was observed in six run and the efficiency of the catalyst was appropriately close to each other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

In summary, in this study GQDs based heterogeneous nanocatalyst was prepared and used in the preparation of xanthene derivatives. All reactions were performed in green conditions. Xanthene derivatives were produced with high yields in short reaction time under mild solvent-free conditions. The catalyst could be easily recovered and recycled for 5 times without significant loss of its catalytic activity.
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