Two New Lignans from *Lycopodium japonicum* Thunb.

Qin Ren\(^1,2\), Zhenxing Zou\(^2\), Yang Liu\(^1\), Xi Chen\(^2\), Kangping Xu\(^2\) and Guishan Tan\(^1,2\*)

\(^1\)Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha 410008, PR China
\(^2\)Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, Changsha 410013, PR China

(Rceived March 06, 2019; Revised April 02, 2019; Accepted April 03, 2019)

**Abstract:** Two new lignans, named lycojaponicoside A (1) and B (2), together with four known compounds (3-6) were isolated from the \(n\)-BuOH extract of *Lycopodium japonicum*. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of extensive spectroscopic methods, especially various NMR techniques, HRESIMS and circular dichroism (CD). The absolute configuration of compound 1 was established by the calculation of electronic circular dichroism (ECD) curves. All of the compounds were evaluated for their cytotoxic activities against A549 and HepG2 human cancer cell lines, but those compounds did not exhibit cytotoxicity.
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1. Introduction

*Lycopodium japonicum* Thunb. ex Murray, a perennial herb belonging to the family Lycopodiaceae, was widely distributed in Guangxi, Guizhou, Yunnan province of China. This plant was used as a traditional herbal medicine for the treatment of arthritis pain, contusion and myasthenia [1-2]. Previous phytochemical investigations of *L. japonicum* were mainly focused on the lycopodium alkaloids [3-6], and serratene-type triterpenoids [7-10], which were characteristic constituents of this genus. However, there has been little research on other types of compounds, such as flavonoids and lignans. In addition, the chemical constituents of this plant were mainly concentrated on the study of medium polarity and small polarity parts, while the research on high polarity part was rarely reported, which urged us to look for bioactive substances from the high polarity part of 75% aqueous ethanol extract of *L. japonicum*. Therefore, we carried out a systematic study on the \(n\)-BuOH fraction, where two new lignans together with four known compounds were isolated. Herein, we reported the isolation, structural elucidation, and cytotoxicity against two human cancer cell lines (A549, HepG2) of all the isolated compounds from *L. japonicum*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-500 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) with TMS as an internal standard. CD spectra were measured on a JASCO J-815 CD spectrometer (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan). HRESIMS were recorded on Agilent 1290 liquid...
chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Ltd., Santa Clara, CA, USA) combined with Q-TOF mass spectrometry and Orbitrap Velos Pro ETD mass spectrometry (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). Optical rotations were recorded on an INESA SGW-3 polarimeter. Semi-preparative HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1260 series equipped with a VWD detector and YMC-pack ODS-A column (10 μm, 250×10 mm). Analytical HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1100 series equipped with a DAD detector and YMC-pack ODS-A column (5 μm, 250×4.6 mm). Macroporous resin HPD-100 (Cangzhou Bon Adsorber Technology Co. Ltd., Hebei, China) and Sephadex HW-40C (TOYOPEARL TOSOH, Tokyo, Japan) were used in the column chromatography (CC). All solvents used were analytical grade.

2.2. Plant Material

The air-dried whole herbs of *L. japonicum* Thunb. were collected from Guangxi Province, China in July 2015, and identified by Prof. Zhen-Ji Li (Xiamen University, Xiamen, China). A voucher specimen (SJC-2015-07) has been deposited at the Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, China.

2.3. Extraction and Isolation

The air-dried whole herbs of *L. japonicum* (10.0 kg) were extracted with 75% EtOH three times under reflux then concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a residue, which was dispersed in H$_2$O and extracted with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and n-BuOH, successively.

The n-BuOH fraction (100 g) was loaded on macroporous resin HPD-100 column chromatography and eluted with a gradient system of H$_2$O, 30% EtOH, 70% EtOH, 95% EtOH (Fr. A-Fr. D). Fr. B was performed on the Sephadex HW-40C with MeOH/H$_2$O to obtain forty fractions (Fr. B1-Fr. B40). Fr. B20-Fr. B22 were subjected to Sephadex HW-40C repeatedly and were subsequently purified by semi-preparative HPLC (YMC-pack ODS-A column, 10 μm, 250×10 mm, 3 ml/min), eluted with ACN/H$_2$O (0–19 min, 15–34%) to yield compound 1 (0.7 mg, $t_R = 14.7$ min). Fr. B10 was separated into ten fractions (Fr. B10.1-Fr. B10.10) by HW-40C, and Fr. B10.2- Fr. B10.3 were directly purified by semi-preparative HPLC (YMC-pack ODS-A column, 10 μm, 250×10 mm, 3 ml/min), eluted with ACN/H$_2$O (0–30 min, 10–28%) to obtain 2 (2.5 mg, $t_R = 17.8$ min). Fr. B10.5 was also purified by semi-preparative HPLC (YMC-pack ODS-A column, 10 μm, 250×10 mm, 3 ml/min) with ACN/H$_2$O (0–15 min, 10–19%; 15–30 min, 10–28%) to yield 3 (0.7 mg, $t_R = 17.3$ min) and 4 (2.7 mg, $t_R = 14.5$ min). Compound 5 (2.5 mg) and compound 6 (2.2 mg) were obtained from the Fr. B15- Fr. B16 by semi-preparative HPLC (YMC-pack ODS-A column, 10 μm, 250×10 mm, 3 ml/min) eluted with ACN/H$_2$O (0–20 min, 10–30%; 0–30 min, 15–45%).

2.4. Spectroscopic Data

*Lycojaponicinoside A* (1): white amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^221$-14.1 (c 0.07, MeOH); HRESIMS $m/z$: 491.1922 [M-H] (calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{31}$O$_{10}$, 491.1917); $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C NMR data see Table 1.

*Lycojaponicinoside B* (2): white amorphous powder; CD (c 0.31, MeOH) $\Delta \varepsilon_{221} +0.90$, $\Delta \varepsilon_{221} -3.11$; $[\alpha]_D^221$-19.1 (c 0.10, MeOH); HRESIMS $m/z$: 549.1977 [M-H] (calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{31}$O$_{12}$, 549.1972); $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C NMR data see Table 1.

2.5. Computational Calculations

Conformational analysis within an energy window of 3.0 kcal/mol was performed by using the OPLS3 molecular mechanics force field via the MacroModel$^1$ panel of Maestro 10.2. The conformers (Figure S23) were further optimized with the software package Gaussian 09$^2$ at the B3LYP/6-31G (2d,p) level. The 60 lowest electronic transitions were calculated using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) methods at the B3LYP/6-31G (2d,p) level. The rotational strengths of each electronic excitation were given using both dipole length and dipole velocity representations. ECD spectra of different conformers were simulated using a Gaussian function with a half-bandwidth
of 0.3 eV. The overall theoretical ECD spectra were then obtained according to the Boltzmann weighting of each conformer. SpecDis software was used for the generation of ECD spectra.

2.6. Cytotoxicity Assay

A549, HepG2 cell lines were used to assess the cytotoxicity of all compounds. Tumor cells in logarithmic growth phase were collected, counted, resuspended in complete medium. Cell concentration was adjusted to the appropriate concentration, and 90 μL of cell suspension was added to per well, then the cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 5×10^3 cells/mL for 24 h at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. Cells were subsequently exposed to the sample dissolved in DMSO and diluted with the medium to the test concentrations, with the control (Stauroporine) and blank in each plate. After 48 hours of incubation, 100 μL of CCK8 assay solution was added to each well. Absorbance was detected by a microplate spectrophotometer at 450 nm after 1.5 h.

![Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-6](image)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure Elucidation

Compound 1, obtained as white amorphous powder, had a molecular formula of C_{25}H_{32}O_{10} on the basis of an HRESIMS at m/z 491.1922 [M-H]^- (calcd for C_{25}H_{31}O_{10}, 491.1917), which implied ten degrees of unsaturation. The ^1H NMR spectrum (Table 1) revealed six aromatic protons, including two sets of 1,3,4-trisubstituted aromatic rings at δ_H 6.77 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2), 6.70 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5), 6.64 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, H-6) and 7.01 (1H, d, J = 1.5 Hz, H-2'), 7.09 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz,
H-5'), 6.89 (1H, dd, J = 8.5 Hz, 1.5 Hz, H-6), two trans-olefinic protons at δH 6.27 (1H, d, J = 15.8 Hz, H-7') and 6.06 (1H, dd, J = 15.8, 8.3 Hz, H-8'), one methine signal at δH 2.58 (1H, m, H-7), one methylene signal at δH 2.60 (1H, m, H-8a)/2.83 (1H, m, H-8b), one oxygenated methylene group at δH 3.60 (2H, t, J = 5.5 Hz, H-9), and two methoxyl groups at δH 3.79 (3H, s) and 3.88 (3H, s), additionally, a glucopyranosyl anomic proton at δH 4.88 was overlapped by H2O peak, which occurred when measured in DMSO-d6 (Figure S4). Combining with DEPT spectrum, the 13C NMR data (Table 1) totally showed 25 carbon signals (one overlapping carbon signal) including two methoxyls, two methylenes, nine methines, six quaternary carbons, and the remaining six carbon signals could be attributed to a glucopyranosyl unit. The 1H-1H COSY correlations of H7/H8/H7/H8b and H8a/H9 proved the presence of CH(7')=CH(8')-CH7(7)-CH8(8)-CH9(9) unit (Figure S1). In the HMBC spectrum, the correlations from δH 2.58 (H-7)/2.83 (H-8b) to δc 131.6 (C-1) could be observed, which suggested that C-7 was connected to C-1; while the HMBC correlations from δH 6.27 (H-7') to δc 133.1 (C-1'), 109.8 (C-2'), 118.9 (C-6') and from δH 6.06 (H-8') to δc 133.1 (C-1') indicated that C-7' was connected to C-1'. The position of the two methoxyl groups was confirmed by the HMBC correlation from δH 3.79 (3H, s) to δc 147.2 (C-3) and from δH 3.88 (3H, s) to δc 149.5 (C-3'). Furthermore, the large coupling constant (J = 7.5 Hz) determined the β-configuration of the glucopyranosyl group (Figure S4), which was attached to C-4' based on the HMBC correlation between anomeric proton δH 4.88 (H-1') and δc 145.9 (C-4'). The above evidences suggested 1 belonged to the C9-C2-C3-C6 skeleton class, similar to metasequidin D [11].

The absolute configuration of 1 was determined by comparing its experimental with calculated ECD spectra. In the 200-400 nm region, compared with the calculated ECD spectra of 7R, the theoretically calculated ECD spectra of 7S was in better agreement with the experimental ECD spectra of 1 (Figure S22). This allowed the assignment of the 7S configuration for compound 1. Based on the obtained evidences, the structure of 1 was identified as shown in Figure 1, and named as lycojaponicoside A.

Compound 2 was obtained as white amorphous powder. Its molecular formula was determined as C22H34O12 by HRESIMS at m/z 549.1977 [M-H] (calcd for C22H33O12 549.1972), indicating eleven degrees of unsaturation. The 1H NMR spectrum (Table 1) of 2 showed the presence of a set of 1,3,4-trisubstituted aromatic ring at δH 7.04 (1H, br. s, H-2), 7.16 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5), 6.94 (1H, br. d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-6), a set of 1,3,4,5-tetrasubstituted aromatic ring at δH 6.76 (1H, br. s, H-2'), 6.74 (1H, br. s, H-6'), one oxygenated methine group at δH 5.58 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-7), one methine group at δH 3.46 (1H, m, H-8), one hydroxymethyl group at δH 3.76 (1H, m, H-9a)/3.85 (H-9b), two methylenes groups at δH 2.87 (2H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, H-7'), 2.63 (2H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, H-8'), and three methoxyl groups at δH 3.85 (3H, s), 3.88 (3H, s), 3.67 (3H, s), in addition, a glucopyranosyl anomic proton at δH 4.91 was affected by H2O peak, which occurred when measured in DMSO-d6 (Figure S26). Combining with DEPT spectrum, the 13C NMR spectrum (Table 1) totally exhibited 27 carbon signals including three methoxyls, three methylenes, seven methines, eight quaternary carbons, and six carbon signals belonging to a glucopyranosyl unit. The 1H-1H COSY correlations of H-7/H-8/H-9a, H-5/H-6 and H-7/H-8', together with the HMBC correlations (Figure S2) from δH 5.58 (H-7) to δc 137.0 (C-1), 109.8 (C-2), 118.0 (C-6), 54.2 (C-8), 63.6 (C-9) and from δH 3.46 (H-8) to δc 137.0 (C-1), 63.6 (C-9), 146.2 (C-4'), 128.4 (C-5') suggested that 2 was a dihydrobenzofuran neo lignan [12-13]. In particular, the NMR data of 2 were similar to those of (7S,8R)-urologinoside except for the absence of -CH2-CH2-CH2OH and presence of -CH2-CH2-COOC6H5 [14], the HMBC correlations between δc 173.9 (C-9') and δH 2.87 (H-7')/2.63 (H-8')/3.67 (3H, s) established the position of 9'-OCH3. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations from δH 3.85 (3H, s) to δc 149.6 (C-3') and from δH 3.88 (3H, s) to δc 144.0 (C-3') confirmed that the two methoxyl groups were connected to C-3 and C-3', respectively. The large coupling constant (J = 7.0 Hz) indicated β-configuration of the glucose moiety (Figure S26), which was connected at C-4 according to the HMBC correlation between anomic proton δH 4.91 (H-1') and δc 146.5 (C-4').

The relative configuration of H-7 and H-8 was trans based on the JH7,H8 (6.0 Hz) coupling constant [15-16], which was determined by the NOE correlations (H-7/H-9, H-8/H-2). Previous literature revealed that the absolute configurations at C-7 and C-8 of dihydrobenzofuran skeleton could be clearly distinguished from the 240-220 nm region of CD curves [16]. Therefore the absolute configuration of 2 was assigned 7S,8R according to a positive Cotton effect at 242 nm and a negative
one at 221 nm in the CD spectra (Figure S45). The structure of 2 was identified as shown in Figure 1 and named as lycojaponicoside B.

Additionally, the known compounds were identified as dihydrodehydrodiconiferyl alcohol (3) [17], urolignoside (4) [14], 3-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxymethyl)-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-5-(3-hydroxypropyl)-7-methoxydihydrobenzofuran (5) [18], and pinorresinol-4-O-glucoside (6) [19], by comparing their physical and spectroscopic data with those reported.
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### Table 1. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz) and \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz) data of compounds 1-2 in CD\(_3\)OD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>(\delta_H) (mult., (J) in Hz)</th>
<th>(\delta_C)</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>(\delta_H) (mult., (J) in Hz)</th>
<th>(\delta_C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.77 (1H, d, 2.0)</td>
<td>137.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.70 (1H, d, 8.0)</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.04 (1H, br. s)</td>
<td>109.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>146.6</td>
<td>140.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.70 (1H, d, 8.0)</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.94 (1H, br. d, 8.0)</td>
<td>116.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.64 (1H, dd, 8.0, 2.0)</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.94 (1H, br. d, 8.0)</td>
<td>118.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.58 (1H, m)</td>
<td>47.8 (ovl(^a))</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.58 (1H, d, 6.0)</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>2.60 (1H, m)</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.46 (1H, m)</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>2.83 (1H, m)</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.60 (2H, t, 5.5)</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-OCH(_3)</td>
<td>3.79 (3H, s)</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>9a</td>
<td>3.76 (1H, m)</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1'</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td>9b</td>
<td>3.85 (ovl(^a))</td>
<td>3.85 (3H, s)</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'</td>
<td>7.01 (1H, d, 1.5)</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>143.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>6.76 (1H, br. s)</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'</td>
<td>7.09 (1H, d, 8.5)</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td>128.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'</td>
<td>6.89 (1H, dd, 8.5, 1.5)</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>6.74 (1H, br. s)</td>
<td>116.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7'</td>
<td>6.27 (1H, d, 15.8)</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>2.87 (2H, t, 7.5)</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'</td>
<td>6.06 (1H, dd, 15.8, 8.3)</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>7'</td>
<td>2.63 (2H, t, 7.5)</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-OCH(_3)</td>
<td>3.88 (3H, s)</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>173.9</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>3.88 (3H, s)</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>3.67 (3H, s)</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc</td>
<td>Glc</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>9b</td>
<td>3.86 (ovl(^a))</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Overlapping signals

### 3.2 Cytotoxicity

Compounds 1-6 were evaluated for their cytotoxic activities against two human cancer cell lines (A549, HepG2). However, all the compounds showed no cytotoxicity.
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