Two New Furanones Isolated from *Coptis deltoidea Rhizome*
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Abstract: Two undescribed furanones (1-2) were isolated from *Coptis deltoidea* rhizome. HRESIMS, NMR and ECD calculations were used to ascertain their structures. Based on the inflammation cell model of RAW264.7 macrophage which induced by lipopolysaccharides (LPS), RNA-Seq was used to reveal the biological activity of 1. According to the findings, 1 dramatically changed the genes expression profile of the inflammatory cell model produced by LPS in RAW264.7 cells which indicated that it had potential anti-inflammatory activity.
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1. Introduction

*Rhizoma Coptidis* (RC) is mainly distributed in Hubei, Sichuan and Chongqing in China [1]. It has many varieties, including the *Coptis chinensis* Franch., *Coptis deltoidea* and *Coptis teeta* Wall. and so on. RC has a very wide range of applications: In clinical, it was the key medicine used to treat diabetes mellitus [2], as well as gastrointestinal diseases [3], skin damage [4] and other diseases. In addition, RC was also widely used in food processing and preservation [5]. *C. deltoidea* which belongs to the famous local medicinal herbs of Sichuan [6] has significant development value. Currently, most of the alkaloids were considered as the main active constituents of RC, so related studies of RC have focused on the alkaloids include berberine [7], coptisine [8], palmatine [9], epiberberine [10-11], jatrorrhizine [12-13], magnoflorine [14] and other alkaloids. However, with the deepening research of the chemical composition, pharmacological action, processing mechanism and clinical application of RC, more active components particular the non-alkaloid components have attracted more attention [15-16]. At present, the main compounds isolated from RC were main including alkaloids, phenylpropane, flavone, volatile oil and other compounds, such as glycosides, amino acids and organic acids. In this work, two previously undescribed furanones were isolated from *C. deltoidea*. There have been no reports of the isolation of this type compounds from RC yet. The structures were
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elaborated by thorough analysis of HRESIMS, NMR and ECD calculations. The transcriptomicinformation of RAW264.7 macrophagesm which treated by the compound 1 screened by RNA-seq
technology was analyzed, aiming to reveal the biological activity. The separation and identification
process and RNA-Seq results of the obtained compounds were described in detail. The work enriched
the diversity of RC’s chemical composition and laid a certain material foundation for its further
development and utilization.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Instruments and Materials

Bruker Ascend 700-MHz and PE One FT-IR spectrometers (Bruker, Massachusetts, USA and
PE, Inc., Massachusetts, USA) were used to measure the 1D and 2D NMR and infrared spectrum datas
respectively. HRESIMS was performed using a Q Exactive ultrasonic hybrid beam polar orbit mass
spectrometer from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA. The datas of optical rotation and
ultraviolet spectrum were detected by PE Lambda 35 UV-VIS spectrometer (PE, Inc., Massachusetts,
USA) and PE Model 241 polarimeter (PE, Inc., Massachusetts, USA). A Chirascan CD spectrometer
(APL, Leatherhead, UK) was used to collect circular dichroism spectra. A 250×10.0 mm I.D., 5 μm
Ultimate YMC Pack ODS-A column from Japan’s YMC was instaled on the NP7000 serials semi-
preparative HPLC (Hanbang Sci. & Tech., China) for compounds purification.

### 2.2. Plant Material

*C. deltoidea*’s roots were provided in July 2020 from Wawushan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd in
Hongya, Sichuan Province and identified by Chengdu University of TCM professor Ma Yuntong. A
voucher specimen (20200716) was deposited in the Laboratory of Traditional Chinese Medicine
Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Chengdu University of TCM.

### 2.3. Extraction and Isolation

Ten kilograms of powdered *C. deltoidea* roots was extracted by percolation with 70% methanol
solution. After concentrated by rotating in a vacuum, the extract (2.6 kg) was dispersed and dissolved
with hot water and extracted by PE, EtOAc and n-buOH successively to afford PE (12 g), EtOAc
(102.0 g), n-buOH (1000.0 g), and H$_2$O (3000.0 g) soluble fractions.

Using the macro-porous resin D101, which eluted gradually with MeOH-H$_2$O ratios of 70:30,
80:20, 90:10 and 95:5, the PE component (12g) was divided into four parts (PD101-1~4). Then the
EtOAc extract (102g) was frationated by a macro-porous resin D101 [a gradient of MeOH-H$_2$O was
used for eluting (30:70, 40:60, 50:50, 60:40, 70:30, 80:20, 90:10, and 95:5)] and separated into nine
fractions (ED101-1~9). The fourth part of PE (PD101-4) and the ninth EtOAc part (ED101-9) was put
together (30g) under the guidance of TLC analysis and subjected to a C$_18$ medium pressure column
using MeOH-H$_2$O (35:65) as eluents to obtain 11 fractions (F1-F13). F1 (3.5g) was isolated over a
Sephadex LH-20 gel column and chloroform-MeOH (50:50) was used as eluent to afford 7 sub-
fractions (F1.1-F1.7). The sub-fraction F1.4 (0.2g) was further purified and prepared by semi-
preparative HPLC under UV detection (210 nm, 254 nm) with MeOH-H$_2$O (60:40, 3 mL/min) for the
preparation of 1 (1 mg, t$_R$=19 min). Fraction ED101-2 (20.0 g) was subjected three sections (Fr1-3)
after passing through Sephadex LH-20 column [chloroform-MeOH (50:50) as eluent. Subfraction Fr4
(7g) was subjected to a silicagel column to collected fifteen subfractions (Fr4.1-Fr4.15) under the
condition of petroleum ether-acetone (100:1-0:1) acting as an elution. Subfraction Fr4.2 (0.1g) had
been purified by using semi-preparative HPLC under UV detection (210 nm, 254 nm) with MeOH-
H$_2$O (50:50-100:0, 3 mL/min, 30min) to prepare 2 (1 mg, t$_R$ = 21 min).

Compound 1: white powder; [α]$_D^20$ = +22.0 (c 0.037, MeOH); $\lambda_{max}$ = 196 (1.58) in the UV (MeOH);
IR (KBr) ν$_{max}$: 3422, 2962, 2925, 2854, 1641, 1261, 1096 cm$^{-1}$; HRESIMS $m/z$ 261.0757 [M+H]$^+$
(compared for C$_{13}$H$_{20}$O$_5$), 261.0758). $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR datas were showed on Table 1 and 2.
Compound 2: white amorphous powder; [α]2oD = +17.3 (c 0.034, MeOH); λmax = 196 (1.58) in the UV (MeOH); IR (KBr) νmax: 2924, 2849, 1770, 1486, 1279, 1091 cm⁻¹; HRESIMS m/z 273.0758 [M+H]+ (computed for C15H16O5+, 273.0758); ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data were showed on Table 1 and 2.

2.4. ECD Calculations

The primary tool used for the theoretical calculations on 1 and 2 was Gaussian 16 (Gaussian Inc., CT). First of all, Conflex 8 was used to conduct a conformational analysis with a search limit of 5 Kcal/mol [17]. Second, DFT was used to optimize possible conformers (Boltzmann distribution>1%) with the B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) layer in gas and subsequently at B97XD/DGDZVP in methyl alcohol [18]. Each geometrical conformer's Boltzmann dispersion rate was measured to complete the ECD spectrum. The Gauss curve (0.3 eV) which was obtained after Boltzmann statistical weighting and summing the individual CD spectra using SpecDis 1.71 was compared with experimental data [19-20].

2.5. RNA-Seq

Raw 264.7 macrophages (a density of 1×10⁶) were inoculated in tissue culture plate 6. When the concentration of cultured cells reached 60%-70%, three groups were formed: the medication administration group, the DMSO group and the LPS group[21]. DMSO group was treated with DMSO for 24 hours, LPS (1µg/mL) was used to stimulate the LPS group for 24 hours, and drug administration group was stimulated with LPS (1µg/mL) for 24 hours after treated with 1 for 2 hours at a concentration of 60 µM. Following the collection of the three cell groups, RNA Isolater Total RNA Extraction Reagent (Vazyme) was used for obtaining RNA from cells, then AGE was used to measure the concentration and purity of the collected RNA. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) based on the Illumina sequencing platform was used to test double-end sequencing. Using the FastQC tool, raw data quality control was carried out. HISAT2 program was used to compare each clean read separately to the reference genome. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were assessed using the DESeq 2R program. Significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined as those with a FoldChange 1.2 and a p-correction value 0.05. The clusterProfiler R package was used to visualize data using R software, including heat maps, volcano plots, KEGG plots and GSEA plots[22].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure Elucidation

White powder was identified as Compound 1. The pseudo-molecular ion peak in HRESIMS determined its molecular formula to be C14H13O3. From ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1), four aromatic protons at δ 8.7-8.72 (1H, m, H-4), 7.52 (1H, d, J = 9.1 Hz, H-5), 8.5-8.48 (1H, m, H-9), 7.57 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-10); two oxygenated methyl at δ 4.02 (3H, s, 7-OCH₃), 4.04 (3H, s, 6-OCH₃) and one methines at δ 6.35 (1H, s, H-12) could be inferred. In the ¹³C NMR spectra of 1, ester carbons were among the 14 carbon signals at δ 169.2 (C-1); ten aromatic carbons at δ144.4 (C-11), 119.6 (C-10), 129.6 (C-9), 130.4 (C-8), 143.5 (C-7), 150.1 (C-6), 117.8 (C-5), 120.5 (C-4), 124.3 (C-3), 122.1 (C-2); two oxygenated methyl at δ 61.6 (C-7-OCH₃), 56.8 (C-6-OCH₃) and one methine at δ102.4 (C-12). The ¹H-¹H COSY relevance of H-9/H-10, H-5/H-4 showed the presence of a naphthalene moiety. HMBC relevance of OCH₃-C-7, OCH₃-C-6 showed the two oxygenated methyl was identified at the C-6 and C-7 positions. A carbonylated tetrahydrofuran structure was speculated combined the signals of ester carbons at δ 169.2 (C-1) and methine at δ 102.4 (C-12). The structure of the five-membered rings was decided by related signals of HMBC relevance of H-12/C-2, C-1. As the computed ECD curve of R-12 matched the experiment spectra neatly (Figure 3A), the definitive confirmation of 1's absolute configuration was depicted in Figure 1.

White powder was identified as compound 2. From pseudo-molecular ion peak in HRESIMS, its molecular formula was assigned as C₁₅H₁₄O₅. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR datas of 2 were ery similar to 1.
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except the added signal of a oxygenated methyl (δ 3.64, 3H, s, 12-OCH₃). From the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 2 (Table 1), four aromatic protons at δ 8.75-8.71 (1H, m, H-4), 7.52 (1H, d, J= 9.1 Hz, H-5), 8.51-8.47 (1H, m, H-9), 7.57 (1H, d, J=8.6Hz, H-10); three oxygenated methyl at δ 4.02 (3H, s, 7-OCH₃), 4.04 (3H, s, 6-OCH₃), 3.64 (3H, s, 12-OCH₃) and one methine at δ 6.35 (1H, s, H-12) could be visible in the ¹³C NMR spectra of compound 2. There were 15 carbons cluding one ester carbon at δ 169.2 (C-1), ten aromatic methines carbons at δ 144.4 (C-11), 119.6 (C-10), 129.6 (C-9), 130.5 (C-8), 143.6 (C-7), 150.1 (C-6), 117.9 (C-5), 120.5 (C-4), 124.3 (C-3), 122.1 (C-2); three oxygenated methyl at δ 61.6 (C-7-OCH₃), 56.8 (C-6-OCH₃), 56.7 (C-12-OCH₃) and one methine at δ 102.4 (C-12). The HMBC correlations of 3.64 (3H, s)/C-12, 4.04 (3H, s)/C-6, 4.02 (3H, s)/C-7 showed the oxygenated methyl was connected C-12, C-6 and C-7. The position of the methoxy group at C-6, C-7 were confirmed by the relevance of H-9/H-10, H-5/H-4 on ¹H-¹H COSY. As the calculated ECD curve of 12-R match the experimental spectrum neatly (Figure 3B), the definitive confirmation of 2’s absolute configuration was depicted in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Chemical structures of 1 and 2](image1)

![Figure 2. Key HMBC (arrows) and ¹H-¹H COSY (bold) correlations of 1 and 2](image2)
Table 1. $^1$H (700 MHz) and $^{13}$C (175 MHz) NMR spectroscopic data for 1 and 2 (Chloroform-d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>δC</th>
<th>δH</th>
<th>COSY*</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>8.74-8.72 (1H, m)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,8,7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>7.52 (1H, d, 9.1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>150.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>130.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>8.5-8.48 (1H, m)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3,11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>7.57 (1H, d,8.6)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12,2,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>6.35 (1H, s)</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-OCH$_3$</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>4.04 (3H, s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-OCH$_3$</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>4.02 (3H, s)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-OCH$_3$</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>3.64 (3H, s)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^3$H-$^1$H

Figure 3. Calculated ECD spectra and experimental ECD curves of 1 and 2
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### 3.2. RNA-Seq Analysis

![Volcano plots](image)

**Figure 4.** Reversed LPS-induced inflammatory phenotypic gene expression in RAW264.7 cells

(A) A volcano plot of DEGs in RAW264.7 macrophages treated with LPS versus DMSO was shown; red dots indicate DEGs obviously upregulated by LPS vs DMSO, blue dots indicate significantly downregulated DEGs. (B) A volcano plot of DEGs in RAW264.7 macrophages treated with LPS + I compared to LPS; red dots indicate DEGs obviously upregulated by LPS vs DMSO and blue dots indicate significantly downregulated DEGs. (C) Heat map of DEGs in DMSO, LPS and LPS + I treated RAW264.7 macrophages. (D) DEGs’ KEGG enrichment analysis. (E) Analysis of GSEA enrichment.

#### 3.2.1 Transcriptome Analysis of Compound I

Three groups of RAW264.7 cells were established, DMSO control group (treated with DMSO), LPS induction group (treated with LPS+DMSO) and drug administration group (treated with LPS and I). To illustrate I’s biological activity, RNA of different group cells were extracted for transcriptome analysis. Variable genes were identified by RNA-seq conditional screening (FoldChange>1.2, p<0.05) and visualized by plotting volcanoes separately (Figure 4A and 4B). In comparison to the DMSO group, the LPS group showed up-regulation of 3737 genes and down-regulation of 4035 genes. Comparison to the LPS group, the LPS+I group showed up-regulation of 1213 genes and down-regulation of 962 genes. During the screening of differential genes we found many genes were worthy of attention. For example, Ccl14 acted as a chemokine that promoted inflammatory cell activation [23] and was downregulated after drug administration; Il11 has pro-inflammatory effects and was downregulated after drug administration [24]. A heat map (Figure 4C) showed the expression of the 2175 differential genes obtained by comparing the administered group with the LPS-induced group between the three groups (Figure 4C) revealing that the administration of the drug reversed some of the LPS-induced gene expression. In addition, KEGG enrichment analysis was performed using the DEG of the LPS group versus the drug administration group, and the 10 most significantly enriched pathways were obtained by conditional screening (FoldChange>1.2, p<0.05) (Figure 4D). GSEA enrichment analysis showed that I was positively correlated with the down-regulated genes set of LPS treatment after intervention. Conversely, following intervention, the up-regulated genes set of LPS
treatment showed a negative connection with 1 (Figure 4E). Taken together, compound 1 dramatically altered the RAW264.7 cells' LPS-induced inflammatory cell model's gene expression profile.
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